

*Mayer v. The Venelia.*

UNITED STATES *v.* CHETIMACHAS INDIANS.

APPEAL FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA.

No. 21, December Term, 1852. — Decided December 15, 1852.

The Attorney General having stated that the Indians are entitled to the land claimed by them, the case is dismissed.

THE case is stated in the opinion.

MR. CHIEF JUSTICE TANEY said: The Attorney General having appeared in this case, and declined arguing it, on the ground that the Chetimachas Indians are entitled to the land claimed by them in this suit; there appears to be no controversy before this court, and the appeal from the District Court is therefore

*Dismissed.*

*Mr. Attorney General* for appellant. *Mr. Taylor* and *Mr. Janin* for appellees.

---

MAYER *v.* THE VENELIA, HER TACKLE ETC., EDDES MASTER.

APPEAL FROM THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA.

No. 14, December Term, 1854. — Decided December 18, 1854.

The case is dismissed because neither party is ready for argument at the second term at which it is called.

THE case is stated in the opinion.

MR. CHIEF JUSTICE TANEY announced the following order in this cause:

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, and it appearing to the court here that this is the second term at which this case has been called for argument, and that neither party is now prepared to argue the same, it is considered by the court that this appeal should be dismissed at the cost of the appellants pursuant to the 55th rule of this court: whereupon, it is now here ordered and decreed by this court, that this cause be, and the same is hereby dismissed, with costs; and that this cause