

PEARSON, J.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION

GARY M. DEPERRO,	)	
	)	CASE NO. 4:24-CV-00979
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	JUDGE BENITA Y. PEARSON
	)	
DEB HAALAND, SECRETARY OF THE	)	
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF	)	
THE INTERIOR,	)	
	)	<b><u>MEMORANDUM OF OPINION</u></b>
	)	<b><u>AND ORDER</u></b>
Defendant.	)	[Resolving <a href="#">ECF No. 4</a> ]

I.

This is a removed *pro se* case. *Pro se* Plaintiff Gary M. DePerro filed his complaint against Defendant Debra Haaland, Secretary of the United States Department of Interior (“Defendant”), in the Struthers Municipal Court Small Claims Division. [ECF No. 1-1](#). In its entirety, Plaintiff’s complaint alleges that Defendant “fail[ed] to enforce Ohio State mine laws ORC 1513 and ORC 1514 and Federal mine law Surface Mining Control & Reclamation Act of 1977 [ (“SMCRA”)] (Public Law 95-87) in regards to the surface/strip mine by the name of Carbon Limestone, LLC located on 8100 S. Stateline Rd. Lowellville, Ohio, Poland Township, Mahoning County.” [ECF No. 1-1 at PageID #: 5](#). For relief, Plaintiff seeks one dollar in damages.

Defendant removed the action to federal court on the basis of [28 U.S.C. § 1442\(a\)](#). Pending before the Court is Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction pursuant to [Fed. R. Civ. P. 12\(b\)\(1\)](#). [ECF No. 4](#). In the Motion, Defendant asserts

(4:24CV979)

that subject-matter jurisdiction is lacking because: (1) because the state court from which this action was removed did not have jurisdiction over a claim against Defendant, and under the doctrine of derivative jurisdiction, this Court acquired no subject-matter jurisdiction upon removal; (2) Plaintiff lacks standing to bring the action because he does not identify any injury he sustained as a result of Defendant's alleged conduct; and (3) Plaintiff has not exhausted the administrative prerequisites to filing a citizen's suit under the Surface Mining Control & Reclamation Act. *See ECF No. 4 at PageID #:17.*

Plaintiff has not responded to the motion or demonstrated a basis for an exercise of subject-matter jurisdiction in the case.

## II.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(1) authorizes a motion to dismiss for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction. [Fed. R. Civ. P. 12\(b\)\(1\)](#). Federal courts are courts of limited jurisdiction and "possess only that power authorized by Constitution and statute." [Kokkonen v. Guardian Life Ins. of Am.](#), 511 U.S. 375, 377 (1994) (citation omitted). Further, "[i]t is to be presumed that a cause lies outside this limited jurisdiction . . . and the burden of establishing the contrary rests upon the party asserting jurisdiction." [Id.](#) (internal citation omitted.)

Under § 1442(a)(1), "the right of removal is absolute for conduct performed under color of federal office." [Arizona v. Manypenny](#), 451 U.S. 232, 242 (1981) (citing past interpretations of 28 U.S.C. § 1442(a)(1) by the Supreme Court). Even so, the removal only creates a "purely derivative form of jurisdiction, neither enlarging nor contracting the rights of the parties." [Id.](#) When "the state court lacks jurisdiction of the subject matter or of the parties, the federal court acquires none, although in a like suit originally brought in a federal court it would have had jurisdiction." [Minnesota v. United States](#), 305 U.S. 382, 389 (1939). Under [30 U.S.C. § 1270\(a\)](#),

(4:24CV979)

the district court has jurisdiction over all civil actions seeking to compel compliance with SMCRA. Struthers Municipal Court, however, did not have jurisdiction over the claim Plaintiff brought in that court. Therefore, following removal, and under the doctrine of derivative jurisdiction, the Court did not acquire subject matter jurisdiction over the matter.

### III.

Thus, the Court finds that Plaintiff's complaint warrants dismissal for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction. Accordingly, Defendant's Motion to Dismiss is granted, and this action is dismissed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

---

January 31, 2025

Date

---

*/s/ Benita Y. Pearson*

Benita Y. Pearson  
United States District Judge