

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

---

TRUSTEES OF THE LEATHER GOODS,  
HANDBAGS, AND NOVELTY WORKERS'  
UNION LOCAL 1 JOINT RETIREMENT FUND,

Plaintiff,

**ORDER**

19-CV-1717 (MKB) (LB)

v.

NEW YORK SEWING MACHINE, INC., XYZ  
CORPORATIONS (1-10), and JOHN AND JANE  
DOES (1-10),

Defendants.

---

MARGO K. BRODIE, United States District Judge:

Plaintiff Trustees of the Leather Goods, Handbags, and Novelty Workers' Union Local 1 Joint Retirement Fund commenced the above-captioned action on March 26, 2019, against Defendants New York Sewing Machine, Inc. ("New York Sewing"), XYZ Corporations (1-10), and John and Jane Does (1-10), pursuant to the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 1451 ("ERISA"). (Compl., Docket Entry No. 1.) On April 30, 2019, Plaintiff moved for default judgment against New York Sewing in the amount of \$949,972.79, (Mot. for Default J., Docket Entry No. 8), and on May 3, 2019, the Court referred the motion to Magistrate Judge Lois Bloom for a report and recommendation, (Order dated May 3, 2019).

On July 19, 2019, Judge Bloom recommended that the Court grant in part and deny in part Plaintiff's motion, and award Plaintiff \$782,948 in outstanding withdrawal liability, \$70,658.38 in interest accrued through April 30, 2019 plus pre-judgment interest at a rate of \$64.35 per day from April 30, 2019 until judgment is entered, and liquidated damages in an amount equal to the final interest calculation (the "R&R"). (R&R 2, Docket Entry No. 10.) In

addition, Judge Bloom recommended that the Court award \$4,765 in attorneys' fees, unless Plaintiff supplemented the record with further information as to its attorneys' fees request within fourteen days of the R&R. (*Id.* at 2, 18.)

No party has objected to the R&R.

A district court reviewing a magistrate judge's recommended ruling "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). When a party submits a timely objection to a report and recommendation, the district court reviews *de novo* the parts of the report and recommendation to which the party objected. *Id.*; *see also United States v. Romano*, 794 F.3d 317, 340 (2d Cir. 2015). The district court may adopt those portions of the recommended ruling to which no timely objections have been made, provided no clear error is apparent from the face of the record. *John Hancock Life Ins. Co. v. Neuman*, No. 15-CV-1358, 2015 WL 7459920, at \*1 (E.D.N.Y. Nov. 24, 2015). The clear error standard also applies when a party makes only conclusory or general objections. *Benitez v. Parmer*, 654 F. App'x 502, 503–04 (2d Cir. 2016) (holding that "general objection[s] [are] insufficient to obtain *de novo* review by [a] district court" (citations omitted)); *see Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2)* ("[A] party may serve and file specific written objections to the [magistrate judge's] proposed findings and recommendations." (emphasis added)); *see also Colvin v. Berryhill*, 734 F. App'x 756, 758 (2d Cir. 2018) ("Merely referring the court to previously filed papers or arguments does not constitute an adequate objection under . . . Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).") (quoting *Mario v. P & C Food Mkts., Inc.*, 313 F.3d 758, 766 (2d Cir. 2002))).

The Court has reviewed the unopposed R&R and, finding no clear error, adopts the R&R in its entirety pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Accordingly, the Court grants in part and denies in part Plaintiff's motion. The Court awards Plaintiff \$782,948 in outstanding withdrawal

liability, \$70,658.38 in interest accrued through April 30, 2019 plus pre-judgment interest at a rate of \$64.35 per day from April 30, 2019 until judgment is entered, and liquidated damages in an amount equal to the final interest calculation. In addition, because Plaintiff has not supplemented the record, the Court awards \$4,765 in attorneys' fees. The Court directs the Clerk of Court to close this case.

Dated: October 28, 2019  
Brooklyn, New York

SO ORDERED:

---

S/  
MARGO K. BRODIE  
United States District Judge