

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
STATESBORO DIVISION**

**WASEEM DAKER,**

**Plaintiff,**

**v. 6:14-cv-47**

**PATRICK HEAD; JESSE D. EVANS;  
MARY E. STALEY; BRIAN OWENS;  
TIMOTHY WARD; RANDY TILLMAN;  
RICK JACOBS; ROBERT E. JONES;  
CARL HUMPHREY; DR. SHARON  
LEWIS; SHEVONDAH FIELDS; LISA  
FOUNTAIN; TORIS MCLESSIA  
ROZIER; JAMES DEMETRIUS  
SMITH; GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF  
CORRECTIONS; ROBERT TOOLE;  
WENDELL FOWLER; JOHN PAUL;  
Ms. KILGORE; Mr. DELOACH;  
MILTON SMITH; MURIEL JACKSON;  
JOHN DOE; JANE DOE; DR. JOHN  
DOE; DR. JANE DOE; Ms. KING; P.  
MURPHY; Off. HENRY; Ms. BROWN;  
THREE JOHN DOES; BRUCE  
CHATMAN; JUNE BISHOP; DR. DEAN  
BROOME; Mr. CARAVELLO;  
WILLIAM McNUNN; STEPHEN  
NICOLOV; SHARON BROWN; Ms.  
LIGHTSEY; Ms. CROWDER; Ms.  
STRICKLAND; Ms. DOBBS; Ms.  
SICVERS; Ms. COWART; Ms. BRADY;  
TIFFANY WOOTEN; Mr.  
THURMOND; DEBBIE KING; Major  
SMITH; Sgt. SALGADO; RONNIE  
SHUEMAKE; TARAL TODMAN;  
BENJAMIN WARREN; ASWON  
CAULEY; FREDDIE DAVIS; JAMES  
McMILLAN; MICHAEL NUPEN;  
TORJKA NASH; LESLEY MEDLOCK;  
and SARAH BARBER,**


**Defendants.**

**ORDER**

Waseem Daker has moved this court to amend its judgment dismissing his complaint. ECF No. 23. In support of his motion, he offers “the same reasons set forth in his objections to the magistrate’s 9/8/14 report & recommendation.” *Id.* at 1. However, a Rule 59(e) motion cannot be used “to relitigate old matters, raise argument[,] or present evidence that could have been raised prior to the entry of judgment.” *Michael Linet, Inc. v. Vill. of Wellington, Fla.*, 408 F.3d 757, 763 (11th Cir. 2005). Daker’s motion is improper because he has offered no new argument in support of altering the Court’s judgment.

Therefore, the Court ***DENIES*** Daker’s Rule 59 Motion.

This 4 day of November 2014.

  
B. AVANT EDENFIELD, JUDGE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA