

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
MACON DIVISION

TAURENCE TARELL DOTSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

Sheriff DAVID DAVIS, *et al.*,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO.
5:25-cv-00115-TES-CHW

ORDER ADOPTING RECOMMENDATION

Before the Court is the United States Magistrate Judge's Order and Recommendation [Doc. 17]. Plaintiff Taurence Tarell Dotson did not object to the Recommendation in the allotted time. [*Id.* at p. 13]. So, after reviewing it for clear error, the Court **ADOPTS** it and **MAKES IT THE ORDER OF THE COURT**. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(a)(1) and (d); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). The magistrate judge recommended that the Court deny Plaintiff's motion for an injunction [Doc. 16] because the record is not sufficiently developed to determine whether Plaintiff has met the high bar required to obtain an injunction. [Doc. 17, p. 13]. Accordingly, Defendant's motion for an injunction [Doc. 16] is **DENIED**.

SO ORDERED, this 1st day of December, 2025.

S/ Tilman E. Self, III

TILMAN E. SELF, III

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE