

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
 MACON DIVISION

COREY GRIER; CHRISTOPHER	:	
RUSSELL; PATRICK E. CAIL;	:	
MARKETT MOORE; CEDRIC	:	
CALHOUN; ALBERT L. LANE;	:	
DURRELL MURPHY; MAURICE	:	
COLEMAN; DAVID MCMILLAN;	:	CIVIL ACTION NO.: 5:08-CV-177 (CAR)
FRANK MATHIS; RANDALL GLENN	:	
DAVIS, II; LORNE VERNAE STONE;	:	
ANTHONY W. CHERRY; ROBBIE	:	
V. FLOYD; RALPH CARTER;	:	
LEROY SANDERS; MONTAY	:	
STINSON; ADAM LEYS; TOMMY	:	
PICKERN; JEFFERY MCCLURE;	:	
DANNY SHAW; MARLIN LAWRENCE,	:	
	:	<u>ORDER</u>
Plaintiffs	:	
	:	
VS.	:	
	:	
HILTON HALL; MARY GORE	:	
	:	
Defendants	:	

Twenty-two (22) plaintiffs, all inmates at the Georgia Diagnostic and Classifications Center in Jackson, Georgia, have filed a joint *pro se* complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Because plaintiffs have not paid the \$350.00 filing fee, the Court assumes that they want to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

The Prison Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the “PLRA”) requires that a prisoner bringing a civil action *in forma pauperis* pay the full filing fee. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b). The Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals has held that prisoners proceeding *in forma pauperis* are not allowed to join together as plaintiffs in a single lawsuit. Each prisoner is required to file his own lawsuit and pay the full amount of the filing fee. ***Hubbard v. Haley***, 262 F.3d 1194 (11th Cir. 2001). Prisoners may not join claims and thus pro-rate a single filing fee. As the Eleventh Circuit in ***Hubbard*** noted, requiring each plaintiff to pay the full filing fee is consistent with Congress's purpose of imposing

costs on prisoners to deter frivolous suits. *Id.* at 1197-98.

Applying this principle to the case at hand, plaintiffs' are not allowed to proceed *in forma pauperis* and this case is **DISMISSED**.¹ Dismissal is without prejudice and plaintiffs will be allowed to re-file their complaints separately, if they choose.

SO ORDERED, this 9th day of June, 2008.

S/ C. Ashley Royal
C. ASHLEY ROYAL
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

lnb

¹As plaintiffs' case is dismissed, the pending motion to amend/correct (Doc. #3) and motion for protective order (Doc. #4) are **DISMISSED** as well.