

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
ATHENS DIVISION

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

JAVIOUS MATTOX, *and*,  
MALIK TUCKER,

*Defendants.*

CRIMINAL ACTION NO.  
3:25-cr-00006-TES-CHW

---

ORDER GRANTING UNOPPOSED MOTION TO CONTINUE

---

Before the Court is Defendant Javious Mattox’s Unopposed Motion to Continue Trial in the Interest of Justice [Doc. 55]. On March 12, 2025, the Grand Jury returned a three-count Indictment [Doc. 33] charging Defendant and his co-defendant, Malik Tucker, with Conspiracy to Interfere with Commerce by Robbery in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1951, Interference with Commerce by Robbery in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1951 and 2, and Use of a Firearm During and in Relation to a Crime of Violence in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)(1)(A) and 2. Defendant pleaded “not guilty” at his arraignment on March 26, 2025, and was released on a secured bond pending trial. [Doc. 43]; [Doc. 44]; [Doc. 45]; [Doc. 31]; [Doc. 32]. The Court continued this case for the first time on March 31, 2025, and Defendant now seeks a second continuance. [Doc. 54]; [Doc. 55].

In support of his Motion, Defendant states that he received voluminous discovery on April 1, 2025, consisting of 255 GB of data. [Doc. 55, p. 2]. Defense counsel

requests additional time to review that discovery, to engage in plea negotiations, and to prepare for trial if necessary. [*Id.* at pp. 2–3]. The Government does not oppose the requested continuance. [*Id.* at p. 3].

The Court finds that granting Defendant’s request serves the ends of justice. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The Court further finds that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the interests of Defendant and the public in a speedy trial. *See id.* Failure to grant this continuance would deny all parties “the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.” *Id.* § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

Accordingly, the Court **GRANTS** Defendant Mattox’s Motion [Doc. 55]. Additionally, since “the time for trial has not yet run” with respect to his co-defendant and “no motion for severance has been granted,” *see* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(6), the Court **CONTINUES** the Pretrial Conference until **June 4, 2025**, and the trial of this matter until **July 8, 2025, as to both Defendants**. The delay occasioned by this continuance shall be deemed excludable pursuant to the provisions of the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A) and 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

**SO ORDERED**, this 22nd day of April, 2025.

S/ Tilman E. Self, III  
**TILMAN E. SELF, III, JUDGE**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**