

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
ALBANY DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

v.

ANTONIO GRANT,

Defendant.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

**CASE NO:
1:24-cr-47 WLS-ALS**

ORDER

Before the Court is the Defendant's Unopposed Motion to Continue Trial in the Interest of Justice (Doc. 28) ("Motion"). Therein, Defendant asks the Court to grant a continuance of his trial from the June 2025 trial term to the next trial term in the Albany Division. Counsel contends such a continuance is warranted to allow Counsel to investigate issues which arose during discovery as well as to locate and interview two potential witnesses. (Doc. 28 at 2). Defense Counsel represents she has conferred with Assistant United States Attorney Sara S. Lim, and the Government does not oppose the Motion.

Based on the Defendant's stated reasons and the fact that the Government does not oppose the Motion, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting such a continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and Defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A)-(B). Thus, and for good cause shown, the Motion (Doc. 28) is **GRANTED**. The trial in the above-captioned matter is hereby **CONTINUED** to the Albany Division October 2025 trial term and its conclusion, or as may be otherwise ordered by the Court.¹ Furthermore, it is **ORDERED** that the time lost under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, be **EXCLUDED** pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7) because the Court has continued

¹ Defendant asks the Court to continue the trial until the beginning of the next trial term. The Court, however, continues the case until the **conclusion** of the October 2025 trial term because, as counsel is aware, it is the Court's practice to grant continuances until the conclusion of a particular trial term to allow the scheduling of multiple trials during that term. If Defendant objects to a continuance until the conclusion of the next trial term, he shall immediately advise the Court of such an objection in writing.

the trial in this case and finds that the failure to grant a continuance would likely result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).

SO ORDERED, this 3rd day of April 2025.

/s/W. Louis Sands

**W. LOUIS SANDS, SR. JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**