UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

ALFREDA DENISE WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff,

v.

KASH PATEL,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 25 - 4280 (UNA)

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Before the court is Plaintiff Alfreda Denis Williams's complaint, ECF No. 1, and motion to proceed *in forma pauperis*, ECF No. 2. The court will grant the application to proceed *in forma pauperis* and dismiss the complaint as frivolous under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i).

"A complaint must contain sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to 'state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face.'" *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009) (quoting *Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007)). A complaint that lacks "an arguable basis either in law or in fact" is frivolous, *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989), and the court cannot exercise subject matter jurisdiction over a frivolous complaint, *Hagans v. Lavine*, 415 U.S. 528, 536-37 (1974) ("Over the years, this Court has repeatedly held that the federal courts are without power to entertain claims otherwise within their jurisdiction if they are 'so attenuated and unsubstantial as to be absolutely devoid of merit.'" (quoting *Newburyport Water Co. v. Newburyport*, 193 U.S. 561, 579 (1904))); *Tooley v. Napolitano*, 586 F.3d 1006, 1010 (D.C. Cir. 2009). 28 U.S.C. § 1915 requires a court to dismiss a case "at any time if the court determines that . . . the action or appeal . . . is frivolous." Consequently, the court is obligated to dismiss a

AUTHENTICATED U.S. GOVERNMENT INFORMATION GPO

complaint as frivolous when, as here, "the facts alleged rise to the level of the irrational or the wholly incredible," *Denton v. Hernandez*, 504 U.S. 25, 33 (1992), or "postulat[e] events and circumstances of a wholly fanciful kind," *Crisafi v. Holland*, 655 F.2d 1305, 1307-08 (D.C. Cir. 1981).

Ms. Williams claims to be a "Federal Special Agent" named "America D. Trump," and she is "requesting" that the court remove Kash Patel from his role as Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. ECF No. 1, at 6. She alleges, among other things, that Director Patel has "close involvement" in a "Plot to Rape or Kill a[] White American Leader/Republican/Navy Veteran/Law Enforcement Officer Elite Federal Special Agent AI/Royalty" (this appears to be Ms. Williams, herself), as well as plots to inject her with cancer and computer chips and have her stalked. *Id.* at 7-8.

The court concludes that Ms. Williams's claim lacks an arguable basis in law or fact because her core assertions are wholly irrational. *See Neitzke*, 490 U.S. at 325. The court will accordingly grant her motion to proceed *in forma pauperis*, ECF No. 2, and dismiss her complaint, ECF No. 1, without prejudice.

LOREN L. ALIKHAN
United States District Judge

Date: February 9, 2026