

Signed: December 27, 2018



S. Martin Teel, Jr.

S. Martin Teel, Jr.
United States Bankruptcy Judge

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| In re |) | |
| |) | |
| ADRIENNE KEARSE, |) | Case No. 18-00374 |
| |) | (Chapter 13) |
| Debtor. |) | |
| _____ |) | |
| |) | |
| ADRIENNE KEARSE, |) | |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| |) | |
| v. |) | Adversary Proceeding No. |
| |) | 18-10017 |
| FAIRFAX VILLAGE CONDOMINIUM |) | |
| V, |) | Not for publication in |
| |) | West's Bankruptcy Reporter. |
| Defendant. |) | |

MEMORANDUM DECISION AND ORDER DIRECTING THE
PARTIES TO SHOW CAUSE WHY DEFENDANT'S LIEN
OUGHT NOT BE LIMITED TO THE \$2,013.63 OWED FOR
THE SIX MONTHS PRECEDING ITS LIEN RECORDING ON NOVEMBER 3, 2016

The plaintiff, Adrienne Kearse, the debtor in the main bankruptcy case, Case No. 18-00374, sought by her complaint in this adversary proceeding to strip off the lien of the defendant, Fairfax Village Condominium V ("the Condominium"), against Kearse's condominium unit as wholly unsecured on the basis that it is junior to an earlier first deed of trust, granted to Bank

of America, that exceeds the value of the unit.¹ The Condominium has filed a *Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment* ("Motion") (Dkt. No. 18).

The Condominium notes two liens, dated July 7, 2009, and October 17, 2016, and recorded September 4, 2009, and November 3, 2016, respectively. *Motion* at 3 n.2. However, its proof of claim in the bankruptcy case is limited to the lien dated October 17, 2016, in apparent recognition that its lien dated July 7, 2009, is no longer enforceable.²

The Condominium contends that its lien recorded November 3, 2016, is senior to Bank of America's lien. The Condominium failed to file a reply to Kearsse's opposition to the *Motion* and failed to appear at the hearing on the *Motion*. Despite the Condominium's failure to appear at the hearing, it appears that the proceeding can be disposed of as follows.

¹ Bank of America has assigned its claim to another entity, but for ease of discussion I will refer to the deed of trust as though it is still held by Bank of America.

² D.C. Code § 42-1903.13(e) provides:

The lien for assessments provided herein shall lapse and be of no further effect as to unpaid assessments (or installments thereof) together with interest accrued thereon and late charges, if any, if such lien is not discharged or if foreclosure or other proceedings to enforce the lien have not been instituted within 3 years from the date such assessment (or any installment thereof) become due and payable.

I

As provided by § 42-1903.13(a)(1)(B), a condominium association's lien is generally junior to a first mortgage or deed of trust for the benefit of an institutional lender on the unit "recorded before the date on which the assessment sought to be enforced became delinquent." The only exception to that general rule is the provision in § 42-1903.13(a)(2) that the association's lien is senior the first mortgage "to the extent of the common expense assessments . . . which would have become due in the absence of acceleration during the 6 months immediately preceding institution of an action to enforce the lien or recordation of a memorandum of lien against the title to the unit by the unit owner's association." As stated in *Chase Plaza Condo. Ass'n, Inc. v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.*, 98 A.3d 166, 173 (D.C. 2014),

the Act effectively splits condominium-assessment liens into two liens of differing priority: (1) a lien for six months of assessments that is higher in priority than the first mortgage or first deed of trust – sometimes called a "super-priority lien" – and (2) a lien for any additional unpaid assessments that is lower in priority than the first mortgage or first deed of trust.

In her opposition to the *Motion*, Kearse now concedes that, in light of § 42-1903.13(a)(2), part of the Condominium's lien is senior to the Bank of America lien.

Here, Bank of America's proof of claim reveals that it

recorded its first deed of trust against the property on July 14, 2011. The Condominium's proof of claim filed in the main bankruptcy case relies on a notice of lien executed on October 17, 2016, and filed (according to the *Motion*) on November 3, 2016. Under § 42-1903.13(a)(2), the Condominium has a super-priority lien with respect to "the common expense assessments . . . due . . . during the 6 months immediately preceding . . . recordation of [the] memorandum of lien against the title to the unit"

The Condominium's proof of claim in the main case shows that for the six-month period of May 3, 2016, to November 3, 2016, \$2,013.63 in charges are claimed, consisting of \$1,522.75 in monthly common expense assessments, \$140.00 in late charges, and \$350.88 in legal fees. The Condominium's proof of claim includes no claim for interest. Under § 42-1903.13(a)(2), which limits an association's super-priority lien "to the extent of the common expense assessments" that came due in the six months before the filing of the notice of lien, the Condominium's super-priority lien is arguably limited in amount to the common expense assessments of \$1,522.75. However, § 42-1903.13(a)(2) only limits what liens for assessments are to be treated as a super-priority lien, and does not purport to limit what amounts are recoverable pursuant to that super-priority lien. Under D.C. Code § 42-1903.13(a), an assessment once due "along with any

applicable interest, late fees, reasonable expenses and legal fees actually incurred" constitutes a lien. Moreover, if a unit association enforces such a senior lien in a foreclosure sale, D.C. Code § 42-1903.13(c)(6) directs that the proceeds are to be applied:

(A) To any unpaid assessment with interest or late charges;

(B) To the cost of foreclosure, including but not limited to, reasonable attorney's fees; and

(C) The balance to any person legally entitled to the proceeds.

This evidences that the amounts owed pursuant to an association's super-priority lien for assessments for common expenses due during the six months prior to recordation of the lien include interest or late charges and reasonable attorney's fees.

Accordingly, it appears that the Condominium has a super-priority lien for \$2,013.63 (consisting of \$1,522.75 in monthly common expense assessments, \$140.00 in late charges, and \$350.88 in legal fees).

II

The Condominium further argues that based on the doctrine of equitable subrogation, its lien should have priority over Bank of America's lien, citing *Eastern Savings Bank v. Pappas*, 829 A.2d 953, 957 (D.C. 2003) ("subrogation is the substitution of one person to the position of another, an obligee, whose claim he has satisfied"). The *Motion* indicates that Bank of America's loan, made in 2009 to Kearse, paid off an existing deed of trust loan

and extinguished that deed of trust. Bank of America might have been entitled to be equitably subrogated to that prior lender's lien. However, the argument that the Condominium should be entitled to equitable subrogation makes no sense, and I reject it as frivolous.

III

The Condominium asserts that a representative from Bank of America initiated contact with the Condominium's counsel's office on April 19, 2018, in an effort to secure a priority lien position, thereby acknowledging the Condominium's priority lien status. At most, such contact was a recognition that part of the Condominium's lien had priority status, consistent with § 42-1903.13(a)(2) and *Chase Plaza Condo. Ass'n*.

IV

Finally, the Condominium argues that Kearse and Bank of America will be unjustly enriched at the expense of the Condominium and the other homeowners in the community if the Condominium's lien is avoided. However, § 42-1903.13(a)(2) specifies the limited extent to which the Condominium's lien is entitled to priority over a first deed of trust. There is no dispute that Bank of America's lien exceeds the value of Kearse's property. To the extent that the Condominium's lien is not a super-priority lien and is thus a lien junior to Bank of America's lien, the Bankruptcy Code permits Kearse to strip off

that wholly unsecured junior lien.

v

In light of the foregoing, it is

ORDERED that by January 16, 2019, the parties shall show cause in writing why the court ought not hold that the portion of the Condominium's lien that is a super-priority lien is for the amount of \$2,013.63 (consisting of \$1,522.75 in monthly common expense assessments, \$140.00 in late charges, and \$350.88 in legal fees), and that its lien, except for the portion that is a super-priority lien, is avoidable based on its being junior to the Bank of America lien that exceeds the value of the subject property. It is further

ORDERED that by January 23, 2019, each party may file a reply to the other party's response to this order. It is further

ORDERED that the parties appear before this court on January 31, 2019, at 10:30 a.m. for a hearing on the issues addressed by this order unless the court earlier enters an order disposing of the issues. It is further

ORDERED that the parties confer to attempt to reach a consensual resolution of this proceeding and to submit a proposed order in that regard.

[Signed and dated above.]

Copies to: Recipients of e-notification of filings.