2

4

5

6

7

9

8 | Vincent Manikan,

Association, et al.,

 $_{10}\parallel_{\mathrm{v}}$ 

11 | Pacific Ridge Neighborhood Homeowners

12

13

1415

1617

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

27

28

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Plaintiff.

Defendants.

Case No.: 17-cv-00467-BEN-JLB

## ORDER RESETTING MANDATORY SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

[ECF No. 39]

On October 27, 2017, a minute order of recusal issued and hearings previously set before Magistrate Judge Barbara Lynn Major were transferred to Magistrate Judge Jill L. Burkhardt. (ECF No. 46.) Having reviewed the docket for this case and the operative scheduling order (ECF No. 39), it is hereby ORDERED:

10, 2017, each party shall provide Plaintiff's counsel with an executed copy of the attached consent form, titled Notice, Consent, and Reference of a Civil Action to a Magistrate Judge. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 73.1, if (and only if) <u>all</u> parties have consented to the reference to a magistrate judge, then Plaintiff shall file the consent form(s) <u>in paper format</u> at the Clerk's Office by <u>November 15, 2017</u>. If the paper format filing reflects consent by <u>all</u> parties, then the form(s) will be forwarded to the assigned district judge for approval. The consent form(s) <u>should not be filed with the court electronically</u> through its Case Management/Electronic Case Filing (CM/ECF) system. No consent form will be made available, nor will its contents be made known to any judicial officer, unless all parties

have consented to the reference to a magistrate judge. The parties are free to withhold consent without adverse substantive consequences. Questions related to the consent form(s) should be directed only to the clerk's office at 619-557-5600. Please do not call chambers' staff with questions related to the consent form(s).

2. Due to a conflict in the Court's calendar, the Court hereby RESETS the Mandatory Settlement Conference ("MSC") to <u>May 2, 2018</u>, at <u>9:00 AM</u> in the Chambers of Magistrate Judge Jill L. Burkhardt, Edward J. Schwartz U.S. Courthouse, 221 West Broadway, Suite 5140, San Diego, California 92101.

The following are **mandatory** directions for the parties preparing for the MSC. Absent express permission obtained from this Court, and notwithstanding the pendency of any motion, counsel shall <u>timely</u> comply with the dates and deadlines ordered herein.

- a. <u>Purpose of Conference</u>: The purpose of the MSC is to permit an informal discussion between the attorneys, parties, and the settlement judge of every aspect of the lawsuit in an effort to achieve a resolution of the case. All conference discussions will be informal, off the record, privileged and confidential. Counsel for any non-English speaking parties is responsible for arranging for the appearance of an interpreter at the conference.
- b. <u>Personal Appearance of Parties Required</u>: All parties, adjusters for insured defendants, and other representatives of a party having full settlement authority as explained below, and the principal attorneys responsible for the litigation, must be present in person and legally and factually prepared to discuss settlement of the case. Counsel appearing without their clients (whether or not counsel has been given settlement authority) will be cause for immediate imposition of sanctions and may also result in the immediate termination of the conference. If each of the principal attorneys responsible for the litigation is not listed on the docket as an "ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED," then they each shall enter their appearance on the docket as soon as practicable, but in no event later than ten calendar days prior to the MSC.

Unless there are extraordinary circumstances, persons required to attend the conference pursuant to this Order shall not be excused from personal attendance. **Requests** for excuse from attendance for extraordinary circumstances shall be filed as a motion at least ten calendar days prior to the conference. Failure to appear at the MSC will be grounds for sanctions.

- c. <u>Full Settlement Authority Required</u>: In addition to counsel who will try the case, a party or party representative with full settlement authority<sup>1</sup> must be present for the conference. In the case of a corporate entity, an authorized representative of the corporation who is not retained outside counsel must be present and must have discretionary authority to commit the company to pay an amount up to the amount of the Plaintiff's prayer (excluding punitive damages prayers). The purpose of this requirement is to have representatives present who can settle the case during the course of the conference without consulting a superior.
- d. MSC Statements Required: On or before April 20, 2018, the parties shall lodge statements of five pages or less directly to the chambers of Magistrate Judge Burkhardt outlining the nature of the case, the claims, the defenses, and the parties' positions regarding settlement of the case. The settlement position must include a specific and current demand or offer addressing all relief or remedies sought. If a specific demand or offer cannot be made at the time the brief is submitted, then the reasons therefor must be stated along with a statement as to when the party will be in a position to state a demand or offer. A general statement that a party will "negotiate in good faith," "offer a nominal

<sup>&</sup>quot;Full settlement authority" means that the individuals at the settlement conference must be authorized to explore settlement options fully and to agree at that time to any settlement terms acceptable to the parties. *Heileman Brewing Co. v. Joseph Oat Corp.*, 871 F.2d 648, 653 (7th Cir. 1989). The person needs to have "unfettered discretion and authority" to change the settlement position of a party. *Pitman v. Brinker Int'l, Inc.*, 216 F.R.D. 481, 485-86 (D. Ariz. 2003). The purpose of requiring a person with unlimited settlement authority to attend the conference contemplates that the person's view of the case may be altered during the face to face conference. *Id.* at 486. A limited or a sum certain of authority is not adequate. *See Nick v. Morgan's Foods, Inc.*, 270 F.3d 590, 595-97 (8th Cir. 2001).

5

6

7

9

8

10 11

13

12

15

14

16 17

18

20

19

22

21

23 24

25

26

27

<sup>2</sup> See Electronic Case Filing Administrative Policies and Procedures Manual, United States District Court for the Southern District of California § 2(h), for the chambers' official email address and 28 procedures on emailing proposed orders.

cash sum," or "be prepared to make an offer at the conference" is not a specific demand or offer. The statement shall also list all attorney and non-attorney conference attendees for that side, including the name(s) and title(s)/position(s) of the party/party representative(s) who will attend and have settlement authority at the conference.

MSC shall email statements be lodged via at efile\_burkhardt@casd.uscourts.gov. If exhibits are attached and the total submission amounts to more than 20 pages, a hard copy must also be delivered directly to chambers. Whether these statements are submitted confidentially or whether they are served on opposing counsel is within the parties' discretion. Statements of more than five pages will not be considered.

- e. **Requests to Continue or Reschedule an MSC**: Local Rule 16.3 governs MSCs. Requests to continue MSCs are rarely granted. However, the Court will consider formal, filed ex parte or joint motions to continue an MSC when extraordinary circumstances exist that make a continuance appropriate. In and of itself, having to travel a long distance to appear in person is not "extraordinary." Absent extraordinary circumstances, requests for continuances will not be considered unless filed no less than ten calendar days prior to the scheduled conference.
- f. **Settlement Prior to MSC**: The Court encourages the parties to work on settling the matter in advance of the MSC. In the event that the parties resolve the matter prior to the day of the MSC, the following procedures must be followed before the Court will vacate the MSC and excuse the parties from appearing:
  - i. The parties may file a Joint Motion to Dismiss and separately lodge by email a proposed order to the assigned District Judge.<sup>2</sup> If a Joint Motion to Dismiss is filed, the Court will vacate the MSC. The parties are

- encouraged to contact Judge Burkhardt's Chambers by telephone to notify the Court of their pending Joint Motion;
- ii. If the parties settle more than 24 hours before the conference but are not able to file a Joint Motion to Dismiss, they must file a Notice of Settlement containing the electronic signatures of counsel for all settling parties and identifying a date by which the Joint Motion to Dismiss will be filed. The parties are encouraged to contact Judge Burkhardt's Chambers by telephone to notify the Court of their filed Notice of Settlement;
- iii. If the parties settle less than 24 hours before the conference, counsel for the settling parties must JOINTLY call chambers and inform the Court of the settlement **and** receive Court permission to not appear at the MSC.

After having reviewed Judge Burkhardt's Civil Chambers Rules, appropriate questions regarding this case or the mandatory directions set forth herein may be addressed through a joint call by the parties to Judge Burkhardt's law clerks at (619) 557-6624. For additional information, please see Judge Burkhardt's Civil Chambers Rules.

Dated: October 27, 2017

Hon. Jill L. Burkhardt

United States Magistrate Judge

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Vincent Manikan,  v.  Pacific Ridge Neighborhoo Association, et al.,	Plaintiff(s), d Homeowners Defendant(s).	Case No.: 17-cv-00467-BEN-J NOTICE, CONSENT, AND REFERENCE OF A CIVIL A A MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
available to conduct all procorder the entry of a final jud States court of appeals like a authority only if all parties very you may consent to have you consent without adverse sub not be revealed to any judge Consent to a magistrate judge to have a United States magistrate.	eedings in this civil actingment. The judgment many other judgment of the coluntarily consent.  Our case referred to a mastantive consequences. Who may otherwise be the se's authority. The followistrate judge conduct all	wing parties □ Consent / □ Do proceedings in this case including	ial) and to e United exercise this old your ng consent will o Not Consent*	
entry of final judgment, and  Printed Names		gs. all parties <u>and</u> counsel for all parties	Dates	
	e is referred to United S order entry of a final ju	CE ORDER States Magistrate Judge Jill L. Burdgment in accordance with 28 U.S.		
Date	Unit	United States District Judge		

<sup>\*</sup> Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 73.1, **if (and only if) all parties have consented** to the reference to a magistrate judge, then Plaintiff shall file the consent form(s) in paper format at the Clerk's Office.