

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

11	AMIE NEWMARK,)	Case No.: 10-CV-05032-LHK
12	Plaintiff,)	ORDER DISMISSING FEDERAL
13	v.)	DEFENDANT WITHOUT PREJUDICE
14	GOOD SAMARITAN HOSPITAL, et al.,)	AND REMANDING CASE TO SANTA
15	Defendants.)	CLARA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT

16 On May 24, 2010, Plaintiff Amie Newmark (“Plaintiff”) filed suit in Santa Clara County
17 Superior Court against Defendants Good Samaritan Hospital, Kaiser Medical Center, Healing
18 Touch Acupuncture, More Physical Therapy, Gordon Walker, M.D., Dr. Emeka Nchekwube, Dr.
19 Annu Navani, and also against an entity Plaintiff refers to as “San Jose Mental Health.” Plaintiff
20 alleges that Defendants failed to diagnose and negligently treated her now deceased husband,
21 David Newmark. On November 8, 2010, the United States Government (“Government”) removed
22 this action to federal court on the ground that “San Jose Mental Health” is actually part of the
23 federal Veterans Administration (VA), and is a federal agency known as the VA San Jose
24 Community Based Outpatient Clinic (“Federal Defendant”).

25 The United States has filed a motion to dismiss, and noticed a hearing for February 10,
26 2011. The Court finds this matter appropriate for resolution without oral argument pursuant to
27 Civil Local Rule 7-1(b). The February 10, 2011 motion hearing and Case Management Conference

1 are vacated. The Court GRANTS the motion to dismiss and REMANDS the case.

2 The United States has moved to dismiss on three grounds: (1) that any potential claim
3 against the Federal Defendant can only be brought against the United States (not the federal agency
4 itself) under the Federal Tort Claims Act (“FTCA”), 28 U.S.C. §1346(b); (2) that Plaintiff has
5 failed to exhaust administrative remedies as required by the FTCA; and (3) that Plaintiff has not
6 properly served the United States with a summons and complaint.

7 Plaintiff objects to dismissal on the basis of defective service, but does not dispute that “San
8 Jose Mental Health” is actually a federal agency and that only the United States can be a proper
9 defendant. Citing to the multiple non-federal Defendants involved, Plaintiff seeks remand in the
10 event of dismissal as to the Federal Defendant. Plaintiff also suggests that dismissal without
11 remand “would be extremely prejudicial.” *See* Pl.’s Opp’n to Mot. to Dismiss at 3. The
12 Government has no objection to Plaintiff’s request for remand in the event of dismissal of the
13 Federal Defendant without prejudice. *See* Gov’t Reply at 4.

14 The Government is correct that Plaintiff’s claims for wrongful death and negligence fall
15 under the FTCA because it is an action “for money damages for . . . death caused by the negligent
16 or wrongful act or omission of any employee of the Government while acting within the scope of
17 his office or employment.” *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2675(a). In such actions, the proper defendant is the
18 United States, not the “San Jose Mental Health” / VA San Jose Community Based Outpatient
19 Clinic. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2679. Moreover, a claimant must first present a claim to the appropriate
20 federal agency in order to exhaust her administrative remedies. *See Burns v. United States*, 764
21 F.2d 722, 724 (9th Cir. 1985) (“The claim requirement of section 2675 is jurisdictional in nature
22 and may not be waived.”). Plaintiff has failed to exhaust her administrative remedies, and thus her
23 claims against the United States are dismissed without prejudice.¹ Plaintiff’s claims against the
24 improperly named “San Jose Mental Health” are dismissed with prejudice.

25 As the claims against the United States have been dismissed, and both Plaintiff and the
26 Government agree that remand is appropriate, the Court REMANDS this action to the Santa Clara

27
28 ¹ The Government acknowledges that dismissal of Plaintiff’s claims against the United
States for failure to exhaust moots the Government’s argument for defective service. *See* Gov’t
Reply at 3.

1
2 County Superior Court. The Clerk shall close the file.
3

4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**
5

6 Dated: February 4, 2011
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28


LUCY H. KOH
United States District Judge