

1 SHEBAR LAW FIRM
2 Steven M. Shebar (pro hac vice application to be submitted)
3 steveshebar@shebarlaw.com
4 110 N. Gables Blvd.
5 Wheaton, Illinois 60187
6 Telephone: 630.877.6833

7 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

8 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
9 Brian C. Rocca, Bar No. 221576
10 brian.rocca@morganlewis.com
11 Geoffrey T. Holtz, Bar No. 191370
12 geoffrey.holtz@morganlewis.com
13 Ellie F. Chapman, Bar No. 305473
14 ellie.chapman@morganlewis.com
15 One Market, Spear Street Tower
16 San Francisco, California 94105-1596
17 Telephone: 415.442.1000
18 Facsimile: 415.442.1001

19 *Attorneys for Defendant*

20 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
21 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
22 OAKLAND DIVISION

23 TSA USA LLC,

Case No. 4:17-cv-03536-HSG

24 Plaintiff,

Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr.

25 v.

26 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
27 Defendant.

**JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER
ENLARGING TIME FOR FILING OF
OPPOSITION AND REPLY BRIEFS IN
CONNECTION WITH DEFENDANT'S
MOTION TO DISMISS THE FIRST
AMENDED COMPLAINT**

28 Pursuant to Rule 6-1(b) of the Civil Local Rules for the Northern District of California
29 (“Local Rules”), Plaintiff TSI USA, LLC (“TSI”) and Defendant Uber Technologies, Inc.
30 (“Uber”), by their respective undersigned counsel of record, hereby submit their Joint Stipulation
31 Enlarging Time for Filing Of Opposition and Reply Briefs in Connection with Defendant’s
32 Motion to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint (“FAC”).

1 1. Plaintiff asked Defendant's consent for an enlargement of time in which to file its
 2 opposition to Defendant's motion to dismiss, due to Plaintiff's counsel's undergoing an
 3 unforeseen, serious medical issue. Defendant's counsel consented to the requested extension of
 4 four total days (two business days), from September 28 to October 2, 2017, for the filing of
 5 Plaintiff's Opposition. The parties agreed to an equal extension for Defendant's reply, from
 6 October 12 to October 16, 2017.

7 2. The original briefing schedule was the result of a So-Ordered stipulation, entered
 8 on August 25, 2017, based on "the parties agreement that efficiency would be served by
 9 continuing the Case Management Conference until a date after the proposed hearing on the
 10 motion to dismiss, to help logically sequence case events." Other than this stipulation, there have
 11 been no previous time modifications in the case, whether by stipulation or Court order.

12 3. The effect on scheduled matters in the case, other than the parties' briefing
 13 schedule, is shortening from 14 days to 10 days, the time between the filing of the reply and the
 14 hearing before this Court on the motion to dismiss, which is set for October 26, 2017 at 2:00 p.m.

15 THEREFORE, the parties, through their undersigned counsel, stipulate as follows, and
 16 request that the Court enter an order re-setting the remaining briefing schedule as follows:

17 1. Plaintiff shall file its opposition to the motion on or before October 2, 2017.
 18 2. Defendant shall file its reply on or before October 16, 2017.
 19 3. The hearing date for the motion to dismiss shall remain unchanged.

20
 21 **IT IS SO STIPULATED.**

22
 23 Dated: September 29, 2017

By _____ */s/ Brian C. Rocca*
 Brian C. Rocca

24
 25 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
 Attorneys for Defendant

26
 27
 28 ¹ Brian C. Rocca, the filer of this document, hereby attests that he obtained the concurrence of the
 other signatory, Steven M. Shebar, prior to its filing.

1 Dated: September 29, 2017

2 By _____ */s/ Steven M. Shebar*
3 Steven M. Shebar

4
5
6
7
8
SHEBAR LAW FIRM
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
Attorneys for Plaintiff

ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

10 Dated: October 2, 2017

11 
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE