

1 **THE BUSINESS LITIGATION GROUP, P.C.**
 2 MARC N. BERNSTEIN (Cal. Bar No. 145837)
 3 mberNSTEIN@blgrp.com
 4 WILL B. FITTON (Cal. Bar No. 182818)
 5 wfitton@blgrp.com
 6 CHRISTIAN G. ANDREU-VON EUW (Cal. Bar No.
 7 265360)
 8 christian@blgrp.com
 9 4 Embarcadero Center, Suite 1400
 10 San Francisco, CA 94111
 11 Phone: (415) 765-6633
 12 Facsimile: (415) 283-4804

13 Attorneys for Plaintiff
 14 *UAB "Planner5D"*

15 **JENNER & BLOCK LLP**
 16 David R. Singer (S.B.N. 204699)
 17 dsinger@jenner.com
 18 515 S. Flower Street, Suite 3300
 19 Los Angeles, CA 90071-2246
 20 Phone: (213) 239-5100
 21 Facsimile: (213) 239-5199

22 Susan J. Kohlmann (pro hac vice)
 23 skohlmann@jenner.com
 24 Cayman C. Mitchell (pro hac vice)
 25 cmitchell@jenner.com
 26 Sarah L. Atkinson (pro hac vice)
 27 satkinson@jenner.com
 28 1155 Avenue of the Americas
 New York, NY 10036-2711
 Phone: (212) 891-1600
 Facsimile: (212) 891-1699

29 Attorneys for Defendant
 30 *The Trustees of Princeton University*

31 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 32 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
 33 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

34 UAB "PLANNER5D" dba PLANNER 5D,

35 Plaintiff,

36 v.

37 META PLATFORMS, INC., META PLATFORMS
 38 TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, THE TRUSTEES OF
 39 PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, DOES 1-200, ABC
 40 CORPORATIONS 1-20, and XYZ
 41 UNIVERSITIES 1-20,
 42 Defendants.

43 **KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP**
 44 Dale M. Cendali (SBN 1969070)
 45 dale.cendali@kirkland.com
 46 Mary Mazzello (*pro hac vice*)
 47 mary.mazzello@kirkland.com
 48 Jonathan D. Brit (*pro hac vice*)
 49 jonathan.brit@kirkland.com
 50 Abbey Quigley (*pro hac vice*)
 51 abbey.quigley@kirkland.com
 52 601 Lexington Avenue
 53 New York, NY 10022
 54 T: (212) 446-4800
 55 F: (212) 446-4900

56 Yan-Xin Li (SBN 332329)
 57 yanxin.li@kirkland.com
 58 555 California Street, 27th Floor
 59 San Francisco, CA 94104
 60 T: (415) 439-1400
 61 F: (415) 439-1500

62 Attorneys for Defendants
 63 *Meta Platforms, Inc.* and *Meta Platforms*
 64 *Technologies, LLC*

65 **Case No. 3:19-cv-03132-WHO (SK)**
 66 **Case No. 3:20-cv-08261-WHO (SK)**

67 **STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]**
 68 **ORDER OF DISMISSAL**

1 The parties to these consolidated actions have entered a confidential settlement agreement
 2 (“Agreement”), under which these actions will be dismissed with prejudice and the U.S. District Court
 3 for the Northern District of California (“Court”) will retain and have jurisdiction over any actions arising
 4 under the Agreement, including any actions to enforce the Agreement (meaning, if any party brings an
 5 action arising from this Agreement, the parties agree that the federal district court for the Northern
 6 District of California will have jurisdiction over the suit). Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(2).

7 Accordingly, all parties now stipulate and request the Court order the consolidated actions
 8 dismissed with prejudice with the Court retaining and having jurisdiction over actions arising under the
 9 Agreement.

10 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

11
 12 DATED: May 6, 2025

THE BUSINESS LITIGATION GROUP, P.C.

13 By: /s/ Marc N. Bernstein
 14 Marc N. Bernstein

15 Attorneys for Plaintiff
 16 *UAB “Planner5D”*

17
 18 DATED: May 6, 2025

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

19 By: /s/ Dale Cendali
 20 Dale Cendali

21 Attorneys for Defendants
 22 *Meta Platforms, Inc. and Meta Platforms*
 23 *Technologies, LLC*

24
 25 DATED: May 6, 2025

JENNER & BLOCK LLP

26 By: /s/ Susan J. Kohlmann
 27 Susan J. Kohlmann

28 Attorneys for Defendant
 29 *The Trustees of Princeton University*

Attestation

I, Marc N. Bernstein, am the ECF user whose ID and password are being used to file this Stipulation and [Proposed] Order of Dismissal. In compliance with Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from all signatories.

DATED: May 6, 2025

By: /s/ Marc N. Bernstein

[PROPOSED] ORDER

By stipulation, and good cause having been shown, the Court orders as follows:

The matter is dismissed with prejudice.

The United States District Court for the Northern District of California retains and has jurisdiction over any actions arising under the parties' settlement agreement, including any actions to enforce the settlement agreement. As such, if any party brings an action arising from this Agreement, the federal district court for the Northern District of California will have jurisdiction over the suit.

DATED: May 13, 2025

THE HONORABLE WILLIAM H. ORRICK
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE