

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 RALPH COLEMAN, et al.,

11 Plaintiffs,

No. CIV S-90-0520 LKK JFM P

12 vs.

13 ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER,  
14 et al.,

15 Defendants.

ORDER

16 On November 3, 2006, plaintiffs' motion for a temporary restraining order to  
17 enjoin the scheduled out-of-state transfer on November 3, 2006 of 80 inmates confined to  
18 California Department of Rehabilitation and Corrections (CDCR) came on regularly for hearing.  
19 Michael Bien, Esq. and Donald Specter, Esq., appeared as counsel for plaintiffs. Lisa Tillman,  
20 Deputy Attorney General, appeared as counsel for defendants.

21 At the conclusion of the hearing, the court ruled from the bench and directed  
22 counsel for defendants to prepare a proposed written order. Both parties have tendered proposed  
23 orders and each has been considered by the court. At the further hearing set in this order, the  
24 parties may raise for discussion and further consideration any issue raised in either of the  
25 proposed orders that is not specifically resolved by this order.

26 /////

1           Upon review of the submitted pleadings and arguments offered by counsel, IT IS  
2   HEREBY ORDERED that:

3           1. Plaintiffs' motion for a temporary restraining order to enjoin the scheduled  
4   transfer of 80 CDCR inmates on November 3, 2006 is denied.

5           2. Defendants are to place an appropriately-credentialed mental health clinician  
6   employed by CDCR on the scheduled flight to travel with the 80 inmates on November 3, 2006  
7   to the out-of-state facility.

8           3. Defendants are to have a CDCR mental health clinician conduct an additional  
9   screening of each inmates upon their arrival at the out-of-state facility in accord with the criteria  
10   stated in Special Master Keating's letter of November 1, 2006 (attached herein as Exhibit 1).  
11   The CDCR clinician is to be licensed by the State of California. Should the State of Tennessee  
12   not provide reciprocity for the California-issued licenses of these clinicians, this court hereby  
13   abrogates the State licensing requirements in regards this mandated screening of these 80 inmates  
14   by these clinicians.

15           4. Should the additional screening reveal any of the 80 inmates is not, under the  
16   criteria set forth by Special Master Keating, qualified to be transferred to this out-of-state facility,  
17   defendants are to ensure the inmate is immediately returned to the State of California when  
18   clinically safe to do so.

19           5. No other CDCR inmates are to be transferred to an out-of-state facility unless  
20   the screenings indicated in Special Master Keating's letter of November 1, 2006 are conducted in  
21   the State of California prior to transfer and the criteria for transfer indicated therein applied.


22           6. This matter is set for further hearing on Thursday, November 9, 2006, at 8:30  
23   a.m. At the hearing, defendants shall report to the court on outstanding issues, including  
24   plaintiffs' request for additional clinical staffing of certain areas (such as administrative  
25   segregation-like units) of this out-of-state facility to provide mental health care to CDCR

26   /////

1 inmates, and the status of implementation of applicable provisions of the Revised Program  
2 Guide.

3 7. At the further hearing, the parties shall also be prepared to discuss whether  
4 Special Master Keating or his designee should be directed to visit one or more of the out-of-state  
5 facilities with which CDCR has contracted and to report to the court on the adequacy of mental  
6 health care in those facilities.

7 DATED: November 6, 2006.

8  
9  
10   
11 LAWRENCE K. KARLTON  
12 SENIOR JUDGE  
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26