

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
AND THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT COMPOSED OF THREE JUDGES  
PURSUANT TO SECTION 2284, TITLE 28 UNITED STATES CODE

RALPH COLEMAN, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

EDMUND G. BROWN JR., et al.,

Defendants.

MARCIANO PLATA, et al.,<sup>1</sup>

Plaintiff,

v.

EDMUND G. BROWN JR., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:90-cv-0520 KJM DB P

**THREE-JUDGE COURT**

Case No. 01-cv-01351-JST

**THREE-JUDGE COURT**

**ORDER RE: STATUS OF INTERVENORS**

Before trial in this matter, the court granted motions to intervene by various counties, legislators, district attorneys, sheriffs, sheriff-coroners, county probation officers, and one city chief of corrections. ECF Nos. 817/2376, 857/2427.<sup>2</sup> The court subsequently granted stipulations to dismiss several intervenors with prejudice. ECF No. 2121/3536, 2131/3550, 2302/3808.

---

<sup>1</sup> The parties in the individual *Plata v. Brown* case have agreed that Marciano Plata should be terminated as an individual plaintiff. Given the long history of this litigation and the general familiarity with the *Plata* case name, the *Plata* court has decided not to change the case caption.

<sup>2</sup> All filings in this Three-Judge Court are included in the individual docket sheets of both *Plata v. Brown*, No. 01-cv-01351-JST (N.D. Cal.), and *Coleman v. Brown*, No. 2:90-cv-0520 KJM DB P (E.D. Cal.). This court includes the docket number of *Plata* first, then *Coleman*.

1 To ensure that the docket for this case is accurate, counsel for all remaining intervenors  
2 shall consult with their clients to determine (a) whether the individuals or entities listed on the  
3 court docket sheets wish to continue as intervenors in this case and (b) if so, whether any  
4 substitutions need to be made pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 25(d). Intervenors who  
5 wish to remain in this case shall file a notice of intention to remain an intervenor on or before  
6 **October 12, 2017**. Any intervenor who does not timely file such notice will be terminated from  
7 this case with prejudice. *See Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Ry. Co. v. Hercules Inc.*, 146 F.3d  
8 1071, 1074 (9th Cir. 1998) (“[D]istrict courts have inherent power to control their dockets [unless]  
9 its exercise would nullify the procedural choices reserved to parties under the federal rules.”).

10

11 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

12

13 Dated: September 12, 2017



14 STEPHEN REINHARDT  
15 UNITED STATES CIRCUIT JUDGE  
16 NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

17

18

19 Dated: September 12, 2017



20 KIMBERLY J. MUELLER  
21 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
22 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

23

24

25

26

27

28

Dated: September 12, 2017



JON S. TIGAR  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA