

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RALPH COLEMAN, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

CIV S-90-0520 LKK JFM P

ORDER RE: ASH/CMF BED PLAN

ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, et al.,

Defendants.

18 By order of May 2, 2006, this Court directed Defendants to submit a plan for the
19 interim provision of intermediate inpatient beds and mental health crisis beds. Defendants'
20 submitted plan contained a number of components. One component addressed switching the
21 missions of certain beds at Atascadero State Hospital (ASH) and California Medical Facility at
22 Vacaville (CMF): 25 of the 150 acute care beds at Vacaville Psychiatric Program (VPP) from
23 acute level-of-care to mental health crisis bed services, giving priority to prisons other than
24 CMF, and switch the 25 acute/emergency beds at Atascadero State Hospital (ASH) for
25 California Men's Colony (CMC) and California Institution for Men (CIM) to acute level of care
26 for all prisons. This component is termed the "CMF/ASH plan."

27 This Court hereby approves the parties' stipulation and so orders the Defendants'
28 submitted CMF/ASH plan be implemented immediately, with the following conditions:

1 1. The utilization of the beds subject to this plan will be monitored for twelve months,
2 with the evaluative marker of 90% or higher bed utilization during this period of time. At the
3 end of the twelve-month monitoring period, the parties shall meet and confer with Special
4 Master Keating to discuss the continued implementation of this plan in light of the bed
5 utilization statistics and other relevant clinical factors.

6 2. Defendants DMH and CDCR are to provide Plaintiffs' counsel and Special Master
7 Keating with a monthly utilization report by the fifteenth day of the following month concerning
8 the 25 ASH APP beds, the 25 bed CMF MHCB unit, and the remaining CMF APP unit. The
9 monthly report should contain the following information: number of patient referrals, number of
10 patient rejections, patient-inmate transfer time frames, number of patient acceptances, identities
11 of patients, each patient's length of stay and discharge date, the source of referrals by institution,
12 and the reason for rejection.

13 3. Defendants are to provide Plaintiffs' counsel and Special Master Keating for review
14 and comment a copy of the uniform referral form for acute beds provided by DMH for referrals
15 from CDCR. This uniform referral form will be used for all referrals to all acute beds provided
16 by the DMH to CDCR inmates.

17 4. Defendants DMH and CDCR will do system-wide training on the policies and
18 procedures for inpatient referrals as well as the care provided by the intermediate and acute
19 programs. Defendants DMH and CDCR will provide Plaintiffs' counsel and Special Master
20 Keating with a copy of the training materials for comment before the training occurs. This Court
21 leaves it to the discretion of Special Master Keating to have one or more of his experts attend the
22 training.

23 5. If there is no patient waiting or using the new MHCB beds at CMF, then those
24 beds may be used to provide acute care.

25
26 Dated: August 23, 2006

27 CF1997CS0003
28 90cv0520.o.0823.1.wpd


LAWRENCE K. KARLTON
SENIOR JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT