

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 RALPH COLEMAN, et al.,

11 Plaintiffs,

No. CIV S-90-0520 LKK JFM P

12 vs.

13 ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER,  
14 et al.,

15 Defendants. /

ORDER

16 Plaintiffs have filed a motion for an evidentiary hearing concerning the delayed  
17 construction of the C5 and C6 units at the Salinas Valley Psychiatric Program and the rate of  
18 admissions into those units once they are opened. The parties stipulated to briefing on shortened  
19 time, and the motion is resolved by this order without oral argument. See Local Rule 230(g)  
20 (E.D.Cal.).


21 After review of the papers, and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY  
22 ORDERED that:

- 23 1. Plaintiffs' September 3, 2010 motion for evidentiary hearing is granted;  
24 2. This matter is set for evidentiary hearing on October 4, 2010 at 10:00 a.m. in  
25 Courtroom # 4; and

26 /////

3. Defendants shall produce at the hearing all persons who have substantial knowledge of the issues described in sections III and IV of plaintiffs' motion at pages 12 -14.<sup>1</sup>

DATED: September 21, 2010.

  
LAWRENCE K. KARLTON  
SENIOR JUDGE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

---

<sup>1</sup> Based on the representations in paragraph 3 of her declaration filed September 10, 2010, the court anticipates that Cynthia A. Radavsky will be available to testify at the hearing set for October 4, 2010. In the event that Ms. Radavsky is unavailable, defendants shall produce an appropriate alternative witness or witnesses to testify to those matters that all parties present contemplate will be the subject of Ms. Radavsky's testimony.