

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS  
6 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
7 AND THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

8  
9 RALPH COLEMAN, et al.,

10 Plaintiffs, No. CIV S-90-0520 LKK JFM P (E.D. Cal.)

11 v.

12 ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER,  
13 et al.,

Defendants.

14 \_\_\_\_\_ /  
15 MARCIANO PLATA, et al.,

16 Plaintiffs, No. C 01-1351 TEH (N.D. Cal.)

17 v.

18 ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER,  
19 et al.,

Defendants.

20 \_\_\_\_\_ /  
21 CARLOS PEREZ, et al.,

22 Plaintiffs, No. C 05-5241 JSW (N.D. Cal.)

23 v.

24 MATTHEW CATE, et al.,

Defendants.

25 \_\_\_\_\_ /  
26

1 JOHN ARMSTRONG, et al.,

2 Plaintiffs,

No. C 94-2307 CW (N.D. Cal.)

3 v.

4 ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER,  
et al.,

ORDER RE: TRANSITION PLANNING  
COORDINATION AGREEMENT

5 Defendants.

6 \_\_\_\_\_ /  
7  
8 The Receiver in Plata, the Special Master in Coleman, and the Court Representatives in  
9 Perez and Armstrong presented to the judges in the above-captioned cases an agreement  
10 concerning planning for the transition, activation, and management of the Receiver's 10,000 Bed  
11 Project. On October 30, 2008, the courts granted the parties until November 10, 2008, to show  
12 cause why the agreement should not be adopted as a court order. Defendants filed objections;  
13 Plaintiffs did not.

14 On November 17, 2008, the Receiver filed a timely response to Defendants' objections.  
15 In his response, the Receiver proposed "that he institute a series of meetings commencing in the  
16 near future to which relevant stakeholders will be invited for the purpose of discussing the  
17 10,000 bed project and the Receiver's plans for managing the facilities to be constructed."  
18 Response at 3. Upon careful consideration, the courts find good cause to adopt the Receiver's  
19 proposal.

20 Accordingly, the Receiver is HEREBY ORDERED to meet and confer with relevant  
21 stakeholders, including the parties, Special Master, and Court Representatives, concerning the  
22 coordination agreement on transition planning attached to the court's October 30, 2008 Order to  
23 Show Cause. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Receiver and Defendants shall file a joint  
24 supplemental statement on or before February 2, 2009. If necessary, this joint statement may  
25 //  
26 //

1 include sections setting forth the Receiver's and Defendants' separate contentions. The request  
2 for approval of the coordination agreement on transition planning will subsequently be taken  
3 under submission for individual and joint consideration by the undersigned.

4

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6

7 DATED: 12/01/08

  
LAWRENCE K. KARLTON

SENIOR JUDGE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

8

9

10 DATED: 12/01/08

  
THELTON E. HENDERSON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11

12 DATED: 12/01/08

  
JEFFREY S. WHITE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

13

14 DATED: 12/01/08

  
CLAUDIA WILKEN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA