

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RALPH COLEMAN, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

No. CIV S-90-0520 LKK JFM P

vs.

ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER,  
et al.,

O R D E R

Defendants.

Pending before the court is plaintiffs' motion to convene a three judge panel. Initial oral argument was heard on the motion on December 12, 2006 and the matter was continued to June 4, 2007 for further argument.

Six months have elapsed since the parties last filed briefs on this motion. Accordingly, the court invites the parties to file supplemental briefs and evidence to address any new developments that may be relevant to the court's resolution of plaintiffs' motion. In addition, the parties should address whether a prisoner release order will be effective to remedy ongoing constitutional violations in the delivery of mental


1 health care to class members and, if so, what type of prisoner  
2 release order would be required to remedy those violations.<sup>1</sup>

3 In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

4 1. The parties shall file supplemental briefs on or before  
5 May 24, 2007.

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7 DATED: May 3, 2007.

8  
9   
10 LAWRENCE K. KARLTON  
11 SENIOR JUDGE  
12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

---

23  
24 <sup>1</sup> The Prison Litigation Reform Act defines a "prisoner  
25 release order," as "any order, including a temporary restraining  
26 order or preliminary injunctive relief, that has the purpose or  
effect of reducing or limiting the prison population, or that  
directs the release from or nonadmission of prisoners to a  
prison." 18 U.S.C. § 3626 (g) (4).