

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PAUL HEBBE,

Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF FOLSOM, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:25-cv-1735-TLN-SCR

ORDER

Plaintiff is proceeding pro se in this matter, which was referred to the magistrate judge pursuant to Local Rule 302(c)(21) and 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

On January 22, 2026 the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were served on Plaintiff and which contained notice to Plaintiff that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within 14 days. (ECF No. 5.) Plaintiff did not file objections to the findings and recommendations and the time to do so has elapsed. Though the docket shows service by mail of the findings and recommendations were returned as unserved, under Local Rule 182(f), pro se parties are under a continuing duty to notify the Clerk of any change of address, and absent such notice, service to the address of record is deemed fully effective.

The Court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis.

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

2 1. The findings and recommendations filed January 22, 2026 (ECF No. 5) are
3 ADOPTED in full;


4 2. Plaintiff's Complaint and this action are DISMISSED without prejudice for failure
5 to state a claim (as discussed in detail at ECF No. 4), and for failure to comply with the Court's
6 order and Local Rules; and

7 3. The Clerk is directed to enter Judgment and close this file.

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 Date: May 18, 2026

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



TROY L. NUNLEY
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE