UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DEMOND CHARLES BRACKETT, Plaintiff, v. KORY HONEA, et al., Defendants.	No. 2:23-cv-1935 KJM AC P ORDER

Plaintiff, a county prisoner proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On July 12, 2024, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days. ECF No. 9. Plaintiff has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. *See Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court

/////

AUTHENTICATED U.S. GOVERNMENT INFORMATION GPO

. . . .”). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The findings and recommendations (ECF No. 9) are adopted in full;

2. Plaintiff’s motion for a temporary restraining order (ECF No. 5) is DENIED; and

3. This matter is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for all further pretrial proceedings.

DATED: September 9, 2024.

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE