

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 **JEAN-MAX DARBOUZE,**

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.
14

15 **SPENCER, et al.,**

16 Defendants.
17

Case No. 2:23-cv-1419 WBS CSK P

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANTS' APPLICATION FOR AN
EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE A
REPLY IN SUPPORT OF
DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

18 Plaintiff is a state inmate proceeding *pro se* in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C.
19 § 1983. Before the Court is the motion of defendants J. Spencer, Ph.D. and Dr. R. Kim
20 (“defendants”) for an extension of time to file a reply in support of their pending motion for
21 summary judgment.¹ (ECF No. 63).

22 Defendants seek to extend the deadline to file a reply by twenty-one days, up to and
23 including February 13, 2026. Having considered the motion, the Court finds good cause for the
24 requested extension and grants defendants’ request.

25 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
26
27

28 ¹ Although defendants’ motion is styled “Ex Parte,” the motion was not filed ex parte
because counsel served the motion on plaintiff.

1. Defendants' motion for an extension of time to file a reply in support of their motion for summary judgment (ECF No. 70) is granted; and
2. Defendants' reply shall be filed on or before February 13, 2026.

Dated: January 28, 2026



CHI SOO KIM
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

/1/darb1419.eot