

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 SYDNEY BROOKE ROBERTS and
12 DAVID TYRONE SAMUEL,

13 Plaintiffs,

14 v.

15 SACRAMENTO HOUSING &
16 REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY, et al.,

16 Defendants.
17

No. 2:22-cv-01699-DJC-AC

ORDER

18 Plaintiff is proceeding in this action in pro per. The matter was referred to a
19 United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local Rule 302(c)(21).

20 On May 19, 2025, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and recommendations
21 herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that
22 any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty
23 one days. (ECF No. 111.) On May 20, 2025, Plaintiffs filed objections to the findings
24 and recommendations. (ECF No. 114.) Defendants filed a response to the objections
25 (ECF No. 115) and Plaintiffs filed a reply to the response (ECF No. 117).

26 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule
27 304, this Court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully
28 reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be

1 supported by the record and by proper analysis. The Court previously conducted an
2 appropriate review of the prior Findings and Recommendations granting Summary
3 Judgment when it adopted those recommendations. (See ECF Nos. 76, 79.)

4 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 5 1. The findings and recommendations filed May 19, 2025, (ECF No. 111) are
6 adopted in full;
- 7 2. Plaintiffs' motion for relief from judgment (ECF No. 101) is DENIED; and
- 8 3. This matter is referred back to the assigned Magistrate Judge for all further
9 pretrial proceedings.

10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11
12 Dated: **July 8, 2025**


Hon. Daniel J. Calabretta
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE