

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6

7 JACK PECCIA,

8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 STATE OF CALIFORNIA /
11 DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND
12 REHABILITATION and DOES 1
13 through 5, inclusive,


Defendants.

No. 2:18-cv-03049 JAM-AC

**ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
RECONSIDERATION**

14 Before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration
15 (ECF No. 84). Plaintiff asks this Court to set aside its Order
16 Adopting Findings and Recommendations (ECF No. 76). That Order
17 incorrectly states that no objections to the Magistrate Judge's
18 Findings and Recommendations were filed. Plaintiff did timely
19 file his objections to the Magistrate Judge's Order on June 7,
20 2021 (ECF No. 72). The court has now reviewed and considered
21 Plaintiff's objections and still finds the Magistrate Judge's May
22 17, 2021 Findings and Recommendations to be supported by the
23 record and by the Magistrate Judge's analysis. Accordingly,
24 Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration is DENIED.

25 Dated: July 20, 2021

26
27 
28 JOHN A. MENDEZ,
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE