## Case 2:11-cv-02491-KJM-AC Document 95 Filed 05/21/14 Page 1 of 5

1 2	JOAN A. MARKOFF Chief Counsel, Bar No. 121787 FROLAN R. AGUILING		
3	Deputy Chief Counsel, Bar No. 235874 JENNIFER M. GARTEN		
4	Labor Relations Counsel, Bar No. 232979 DAVID D. KING		
5	Labor Relations Counsel, Bar No. 252074 California Department of Human Resources		
6	State of California   1515 S Street, North Building, Suite 400		
7 8	Sacramento, CA 95814-7243 Telephone: (916) 324-0512 Facsimile: (916) 323-4723 E-mail: Jennifer.Garten@calhr.ca.gov		
9	Attorneys for Defendant Dr. Jeffrey Beard, Secret	tary of the	
10	California Department of Corrections and Rehabi	litation	
11			
12		DIGTRICT COLUMN	
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
14	EASTERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA	
15			
16	RYAN YOUNG, individually and on behalf of those similarly situated,	Case No. 2:11-cv-02491-KJM-JFM	
17	Plaintiff,	NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION	
18	v.	TO COMPEL; STIPULATION RE: DISCOVERY DISPUTE; ORDER	
19	DR. JEFFREY BEARD, in his capacity as the Secretary of the California Department of	Hearing Date: May 28, 2014	
20	Corrections and Rehabilitation,	Time: 10:00 a.m.	
21	Defendant.	Magistrate Judge: Hon. Allison Claire Courtroom: 26, 8 <sup>th</sup> Floor	
22		[Local Rule 251]	
23			
24	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE defendant DR. JEFFREY BEARD, in his capacity as the Secretary		
25	of the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, hereby withdraws the Notice of		
26	Motion and Motion to Compel Discovery. Electronic Case Filing (ECF) 93. Accordingly,		
27	defendant requests this Court remove from calendar the hearing on defendant's motion to compel,		
28	currently set for May 28, 2014, at 10:00 a.m., without prejudice.		
		1	
	Notice of Withdrawal of Motion to Compel; Stipu	-1- ulation re: Discovery Dispute; Order	
	1		

## Case 2:11-cv-02491-KJM-AC Document 95 Filed 05/21/14 Page 2 of 5

1	Defendant's withdrawal is made pursuant to the Stipulation Re: Discovery Dispute as set	
2	forth below.	
3	DATED: May 20, 2014	
4		Respectfully submitted,
5		JOAN A. MARKOFF Chief Counsel
6		FROLAN R. AGUILING
7		Deputy Chief Counsel
8		
9 10	By:	/s/ Jennifer M. Garten Jennifer M. Garten, Labor Relations Counsel
11		Department of Human Resources
12		Attorney for defendant Dr. Jeffrey Beard
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

## STIPULATION RE: DISCOVERY DISPUTE

WHEREAS, the above-entitled case is a collective action brought by eighty-six individuals who have opted in to the action, alleging violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act.

WHEREAS, the deadline to complete the second phase of discovery is June 18, 2014.

WHEREAS, on November 26, 2013, defendant propounded Set One of Requests for Admissions (RFAs), upon twenty-two of the eighty-six plaintiffs who have opted in to the action.

WHEREAS, on December 16, 2013, defendant propounded Set One of Requests for Production of Documents (RPDs) and Special Interrogatories (ROGs) upon the same twenty-two plaintiffs who received defendant's RFAs (Set One of defendant's RFAs, ROGs and RPDs hereinafter collectively referred to as "defendant's discovery requests").

WHEREAS, on February 10, 2014, and following grant of several extensions of discovery deadlines, plaintiffs served responses to defendant's discovery requests.

WHEREAS, on April 21, 2014, and following a period of meet and confer, plaintiffs served supplemental discovery responses consisting of substantive responses to defendant's discovery requests for ten of the twenty-two plaintiffs, and objections from the remaining twelve plaintiffs upon whom defendant's discovery requests were made. Plaintiffs objected on the basis the ten plaintiffs who provided substantive responses was a "meaningful representative sample of the opt-in class for the purposes of discovery" and therefore defendant's discovery requests to the remaining twelve plaintiffs were "unduly repetitive and impose[d] an unjust burden on the responding parties."

WHEREAS, on May 7, 2014, defendant noticed a motion to compel discovery responses, setting a hearing for May 28, 2014 at 10:00 a.m.

WHEREAS, on May 13, 2014, defendant served RFAs upon fifty-four additional opt-in plaintiffs;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED BY AND BETWEEN THE UNDERSIGNED COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS AND DEFENDANT:

- 1. Defendant hereby withdraws Set One of the RFAs defendant propounded upon the fifty-four additional opt-in plaintiffs on May 13, 2014.
- 2. Defendant will and hereby does withdraw its Motion to Compel Further Discovery, and

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

28 | | ///

requests the Court to remove from calendar the hearing currently set for May 28, 2014 at 10:00 a.m., without prejudice.

- 3. Defendant may conduct ten depositions in addition to the ten depositions permitted by Rule 30(a)(2)(A) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 4. Plaintiffs shall provide substantive responses to defendant's discovery requests for three of the twelve plaintiffs who had responded with only objections to defendant's discovery requests on April 21, 2014. In the event an opt-in plaintiff who had previously provided substantive responses to defendant's discovery requests communicates to defendant an intent to opt out of the conditionally certified class, or is otherwise dismissed from the action before the close of discovery, plaintiffs shall provide substantive responses to defendant's discovery requests from an additional plaintiff.
- 5. Plaintiffs agree that for purposes of any pre-trial dispositive motion proceeding, including, but not limited to, a potential motion for decertification or summary judgment, plaintiffs' evidence used in support of plaintiffs' position or argument shall be limited to testimonial or documentary evidence obtained from the plaintiffs who will have provided substantive responses to defendant's discovery requests, or from the plaintiffs who will have been deposed by defendant, by the close of discovery. This provision does not prejudice plaintiffs' ability to seek or use discovery obtained from defendant in support of plaintiffs' position or argument in said pre-trial dispositive motion proceeding.

-4-

## Case 2:11-cv-02491-KJM-AC Document 95 Filed 05/21/14 Page 5 of 5

1	SO STIPULATED:	
2	DATED: May 20, 2014	
3 4 5 6 7 8	/s/ Vincent D. Howard, Esquire  (as authorized on May 16, 2014)  Vincent D. Howard  Gregory H.D. Alumit  HOWARD LAW, PC  /s/ Lawrence W. Williamson, Jr., Esquire  (as authorized on May 16, 2014)  Lawrence W. Williamson, Jr.	
9	WILLIAMSON LAW FIRM, LLC	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff Young and Class	
11 12	DATED: May 20, 2014	
13 14	/s/ Jennifer M. Garten Jennifer M. Garten, Labor Relations Counsel Department of Human Resources  Attorney for defendant Dr. Jeffrey Beard	
<ul><li>15</li><li>16</li><li>17</li></ul>	Anorney for defendant Dr. Seffrey Beard	
18	ORDER	
19	Having read the above joint stipulation regarding discovery dispute, and good cause	
20	appearing, it is hereby ordered that the above stipulation regarding discovery dispute is adopted and	
21	all parties must comply with the stipulation as set forth above.	
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
23	DATED: May 20, 2014	
24	alles Clane	
25	ALLISON CLAIRE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
26		
27		
28		
	-5-	