

1 Benjamin T. Reyes, II  
2 Geoffrey Spellberg  
3 Kevin E. Gilbert  
4 MEYERS, NAVE, RIBACK, SILVER & WILSON  
5 555 12<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1500  
6 Oakland, CA 94607  
7 Telephone: 510.808.2000  
8 Facsimile: 510.444.1108  
9  
10 Attorneys for Defendants  
11 NORTHERN CALIFORNIA POWER AGENCY &  
12 ARIZONA ELECTRIC POWER COOPERATIVE, INC.  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SACRAMENTO DIVISION

Pacific Gas and Electric Company,  
Southern California Edison Company, and  
California Electricity Oversight Board,

Case No: 2:06-CV-0559-MCE-KJM

Plaintiffs,

v.

**STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME TO  
RESPOND ON BEHALF OF ALL  
DEFENDANTS AND ORDER**

Arizona Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.,  
City of Anaheim; City of Azusa; City of  
Banning; City of Burbank; City of Glendale;  
City of Los Angeles; City of Pasadena; City  
of Riverside; City of Santa Clara; City of  
Seattle; City of Vernon; Eugene Water and  
Electric Board; Los Angeles Department of  
Water and Power; Modesto Irrigation  
District; Northern California Power Agency;  
Public Utility District No. 2 of Grant County;  
Sacramento Municipal Utility District; Salt  
River Project Agricultural Improvement and  
Power District; and Turlock Irrigation  
District,

Defendants.

1 Comes now Plaintiff Pacific Gas and Electric Company, with authorization from all  
2 plaintiffs in this action, Defendants Northern California Power Agency and Arizona  
3 Electric Power Cooperative, Inc., by and through their respective attorneys of record and  
4 hereby stipulate as follows:

5 As of the current time, the parties have been working diligently in an attempt to  
6 enter into a Stipulation with the approval of all parties, which addresses various  
7 procedural issues including setting of briefing schedules for preliminary motions as well  
8 as scheduling of Rule 26 compliance. The primary discussions pertaining to the  
9 Stipulation have been undertaken by Counsel for Plaintiff Pacific Gas and Electric  
10 Company and Defendants Northern California Power Agency and Arizona Electric Power  
11 Cooperative, Inc., with all other parties indirectly participating in those discussions.

12 In hopes of obtaining all parties approval and participation in the procedural  
13 Stipulation referenced above, plaintiffs grant a one week continuance to all defendants to  
14 respond to the Complaints in the above referenced matters.  
15 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

16 HELLER EHRMAN LLP


17 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2006 By: \_\_\_\_\_/S/\_\_\_\_\_  
18 Russell Cohen  
19 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Pacific Gas & Electric Company

20 MEYERS, NAVE, RIBACK, SILVER & WILSON

21  
22 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2006 By: \_\_\_\_\_/S/\_\_\_\_\_  
23 Geoffrey Spellberg  
24 Attorneys for Defendants  
Northern California Power Agency &  
Arizona Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.

25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 Dated: April 10, 2006

27   
28 MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE