

1 Benjamin T. Reyes, II
2 Geoffrey Spellberg
3 Kevin E. Gilbert
4 MEYERS, NAVF, RIBACK, SILVER & WILSON
5 555 12th Street, Suite 1500
6 Oakland, CA 94607
7 Telephone: 510.808.2000
8 Facsimile: 510.444.1108

9
10 Attorneys for Defendants
11 NORTHERN CALIFORNIA POWER AGENCY &
12 ARIZONA ELECTRIC POWER COOPERATIVE, INC.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SACRAMENTO DIVISION

Pacific Gas and Electric Company,
Southern California Edison Company, and
California Electricity Oversight Board,

Case No: 2:06-CV-0559-MCE-KJM

Plaintiffs,

v.

Arizona Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.,
City of Anaheim; City of Azusa; City of
Banning; City of Burbank; City of Glendale;
City of Los Angeles; City of Pasadena; City
of Riverside; City of Santa Clara; City of
Seattle; City of Vernon; Eugene Water and
Electric Board; Los Angeles Department of
Water and Power; Modesto Irrigation
District; Northern California Power Agency;
Public Utility District No. 2 of Grant County;
Sacramento Municipal Utility District; Salt
River Project Agricultural Improvement and
Power District; and Turlock Irrigation
District,

**STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME TO
RESPOND ON BEHALF OF ALL
DEFENDANTS AND ORDER**

Defendants.

/

Comes now Plaintiff Pacific Gas and Electric Company, with authorization from all plaintiffs in this action, Defendants Northern California Power Agency and Arizona Electric Power Cooperative, Inc., by and through their respective attorneys of record and hereby stipulate as follows:

As of the current time, the parties have been working diligently in an attempt to enter into a Stipulation with the approval of all parties, which addresses various procedural issues including setting of briefing schedules for preliminary motions as well as scheduling of Rule 26 compliance. The primary discussions pertaining to the Stipulation have been undertaken by Counsel for Plaintiff Pacific Gas and Electric Company and Defendants Northern California Power Agency and Arizona Electric Power Cooperative, Inc., with all other parties indirectly participating in those discussions.

In hopes of obtaining all parties approval and participation in the procedural Stipulation referenced above, plaintiffs grant a one week continuance to all defendants to respond to the Complaints in the above referenced matters.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

HILLER FHRMAN LLP

Dated: _____, 2006 By: _____ /S/ _____
Russell Cohen
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Pacific Gas & Electric Company

MEYERS, NAVÉ, RIBACK, SILVER & WILSON

Dated: _____, 2006 By: _____ /S/ _____
Geoffrey Spellberg
Attorneys for Defendants
Northern California Power Agency &
Arizona Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 10, 2006


MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE