	Case 2:06-cv-00295-TLN-EFB Document	116 Filed 04/28/11 Page 1 of 11		
1	CABLE LAW OFFICES			
2	KEITH D. CABLE (Bar No. 170055) 101 Parkshore Drive, Suite 100			
3	Folsom, CA 95630			
4	Telephone: (916) 608-7995 Facsimile: (916) 984-5775			
5	DE LA O, MARKO, MAGOLNICK & LEYT	ON		
6	DANIEL L. LEYTON (FL Bar No. 0061824) CHARLES D. FERGUSON (FL Bar No. 0741531)			
7	3001 S. W. 3 rd Avenue	551)		
8	Miami, FL 33129 Telephone: (305) 285-2000			
9	Facsimile: (305) 285-5555			
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs EDWARD L. KEMPER			
11	CONNIE J. ARNOLD			
12	DOWNEY BRAND LLP			
13	DANIEL J. MCVEIGH (Bar No. 77410) ELIZABETH B. STALLARD (Bar No. 221445) 621 Capitol Mall, Eighteenth Floor			
14	Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 444-1000			
15	Facsimile: (916) 444-2100			
16	Attorneys for Defendant CATHOLIC HEALTHCARE WEST			
17	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT		
18	EASTERN DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA		
19				
20	EDWARD L. KEMPER and CONNIE J.	Case No. 2:06-CV-00295-LKK-EFB		
21	ARNOLD, for themselves and all others similarly situated,	FACILITY CONSENT DECREE -		
22	Plaintiffs,	METHODIST HOSPITAL OF SACRAMENTO		
23	v.	Date: April 25, 2011		
24	CATHOLIC HEALTHCARE WEST, a	Date: April 25, 2011 Time: 10:00 a.m. Court Room: 4		
25	California corporation, et. al. Defendants.	Before The Honorable Lawrence K. Karlton		
26 27	Detendants.			
27 28	///			
28		1		
	FACILITY CONSENT DECREE – ME	THODIST HOSPITAL OF SACRAMENTO		

Case 2:06-cv-00295-TLN-EFB Document 116 Filed 04/28/11 Page 2 of 11

1	Edward L. Kemper and Connie J. Arnold (the "Named Plaintiffs"), acting on behalf of a		
2	nationwide class of disabled persons (the "Class"), as defined in the Court's October 2, 2006,		
3	Order Granting Class Certification (the "Certification Order"), and Defendant, Catholic		
4	Healthcare West ("CHW"), hereby enter into the following Facility Consent Decree (the		
5	"Facility Consent Decree") as to Methodist Hospital of Sacramento.		
6	RECITALS		
7	On May 19, 2006, the Named Plaintiffs – both of whom have disabilities as defined by		
8	42 U.S.C. § 12102(2) – filed a proposed class action captioned Edward L. Kemper and Connie		
9	J. Arnold, for themselves and all others similarly situated v. Catholic Healthcare West, United		
10	States District Court for the Eastern District of California, Sacramento Division, Case No. 2:06-		
11	CV-00295-LKK-PAN (JFM), alleging inaccessible architectural barriers at CHW hospital		
12	campuses. CHW owns and/or operates more than forty (40) hospitals and other health care		
13	facilities in Arizona, California, and Nevada, including, e.g., acute care hospitals, outpatient		
14	care facilities, freestanding surgery and diagnostic centers, behavioral health hospitals, clinics,		
15	medical office buildings, and long term care and skilled nursing facilities.		
16	On October 2, 2006, the Court entered the Certification Order, certifying the Class as		
17	follows:		
18	The people in the onited states with disubilities as that term has been defined by 12		
19	U.S.C. §12102(2) - including those persons that have a physical mobility impairment that substantially limits a major life function - who have and who were, prior to the filing of the Class Action Complaint through the pendency of this action, denied the full and		
20	equal enjoyment of the goods, services, programs, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any of CHW's Facilities, because of their respective disabilities.		
21	accommodations of any of CITW 's Pacifices, because of their respective disabilities.		
22	The Certification Order also certified counsel for the Named Plaintiffs as counsel for the Class		
23	("Class Counsel"). The Named Plaintiffs and the Class are collectively referred to herein as		
24	"Plaintiffs." CHW and CHW Affiliates are collectively referred to herein as the "CHW Group."		
25	The CHW Group and Plaintiffs are collectively referred to herein as the "Parties," and		
26	individually herein as a "Party."		
27	On or about March 17. 2009, the Court approved the Class Settlement Agreement and		
28	Consent Decree ("Consent Decree") entered into by the Parties.		
	2		
	FACILITY CONSENT DECREE – METHODIST HOSPITAL OF SACRAMENTO		

Case 2:06-cv-00295-TLN-EFB Document 116 Filed 04/28/11 Page 3 of 11

1	On or about October 15, 2009, the Court approved the Stipulation Regarding Agreed		
2	Upon Tolerances and Procedures ("Tolerance Stipulation") entered into by the Parties.		
3	In accordance with Sections 13 and 14 of the Consent Decree, the Parties conducted a		
4	Facility Site Inspection of the Methodist Hospital of Sacramento facility in order to evaluate		
5	physical, communication, and operational accommodations for persons with disabilities. This		
6	Facility Consent Decree incorporates the Facility Modification Plan created as a result of that		
7	Facility Site Inspection.		
8	The Parties now, therefore, in accordance with paragraph 14.4 of the Consent Decree, and in		
9	consideration of the mutual covenants and undertakings contained herein, and other good and		
10	valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged by the		
11	Parties, agree to the following terms and conditions as full and complete settlement of the action		
12	as to Methodist Hospital of Sacramento.		
13	GENERAL PROVISIONS		
14	1. Definitions. In addition to the terms defined elsewhere in this Consent Decree, the		
15	following terms shall have the meanings set forth below. Any terms not defined herein shall		
16	have the meaning ascribed to them in the ADA and in its implementing regulations.		
17	1.1. "ADA" means the Americans With Disabilities Act, as contained in 42 U.S.C.		
18	Section 12101, et seq., and its implementing regulations.		
19	1.2. "Class Members" means members of the Class.		
20	1.3. "Consent Decree" means the Class Settlement Agreement and Consent Decree,		
21	approved by the Court on or about March 17, 2009, including any attached exhibits as of		
22	the date of its approval or attached in the future in accordance with paragraph 16.1.		
23	1.4. "Disability Laws" means: (1) the ADA; (2) Section 504 of the Rehabilitation		
24	Act of 1973, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §§ 701, et seq.; (3) the California Disabled Persons		
25	Act, Cal. Civ. Code §§ 54 et seq.; (4) any other provision of California law to the extent		
26	it grants a right of action for alleged violations of the foregoing; (5) any state or local		
27	law, statute, administrative rule, regulatory or code provision that either directly		
28	incorporates Title III of the ADA or any of its implementing regulations, or sets forth		
	<u> </u>		
	FACILITY CONSENT DECREE – METHODIST HOSPITAL OF SACRAMENTO		

Case 2:06-cv-00295-TLN-EFB Document 116 Filed 04/28/11 Page 4 of 11

1

2

3

4

standards or requirements that are equivalent to Title III of the ADA or any of its implementing regulations; and (6) any other federal, state, local, or administrative statute, rule, or regulation relating to access for the disabled or prohibiting public accommodations from discriminating on the basis of disability.

5 1.5. "Facility" or "Facilities" means public accommodations owned and/or operated by the CHW Group which are identified in Exhibit A to the Consent Decree or in 6 7 supplements to Exhibit A. A Facility includes all areas open to and available for use by 8 the public, including, but not limited to, the parking spaces and sidewalks that serve 9 these areas, so long as the CHW Group has a legal right of alteration or control over 10 these areas. In the case of an acute care hospital, Facility includes all buildings related 11 to the hospital's function, or otherwise located contiguous with or adjacent to the 12 hospital, sharing parking lots or garages or other common areas; such groups of 13 buildings and common areas may be referred to as a "Hospital Facility Campus."

14 1.6. "Facility Consent Decree" means a judgment approved by the Court regarding a
15 Facility Modification Plan.

16 1.7. "Facility Modification Plan" means a plan developed by the Parties identifying 17 the barriers to be removed and/or other modifications to be made pursuant to Disability 18 Laws for a Facility.

19 1.8. "Facility Site Inspection" is an initial inspection to identify potential barriers, and
 20 the needed barrier removal or other modifications necessary to make a Facility
 21 compliant with Disability Laws.

1.9. "Medical Equipment" means equipment that assists in providing disabled
patients access to diagnostic and treatment services (including examination tables,
examination chairs, and lifts), scales, and patient beds.

1.10. "Noncompliance" means that more than five percent (5%) of all applicable line
items identified in an approved Facility Consent Decree have not been completed
adequately, after applying all acceptable dimensional tolerances, construction tolerances,
acceptable variations, and equivalent facilitations cited in the Facility Consent Decree.

	Case 2:06-cv-00295-TLN-EFB Document 116 Filed 04/28/11 Page 5 of 11		
1	1.11. "Post Compliance Inspection" means a survey conducted by Plaintiffs, through		
2	their Expert(s), to determine whether Noncompliance exists at a Settlement Corrected		
3	Facility.		
4	1.12. A "Settlement Corrected Facility" is a Facility that has completed barrier		
5	removal or other modifications pursuant to an approved Facility Consent Decree.		
6	1.13. A "Settlement Corrected Facility Order" is a Court order determining that the		
7	CHW Group's obligations with respect to a Settlement Corrected Facility have been		
8	fulfilled, in accordance with the procedures described in paragraph 19 of the Consent		
9	Decree.		
10	2. Facilities Subject to Agreement. CHW owns and/or operates the following property,		
11	which is a Facility in this litigation covered by the Consent Decree:		
12	(1) Methodist Hospital of Sacramento, 7500 Hospital Drive, Sacramento, CA 95823.		
13	(2) Outpatient Surgery, 7601 Hospital Drive, Suite 103, Sacramento, CA 95823.		
14	(3) Bruceville Terrace, 8151 Bruceville Road, Sacramento, CA 95823.		
15	3. <u>Conditions</u> .		
16	3.1 This Facility Consent Decree is effective only upon approval by the Court in		
17	accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e) and entry of judgment in accordance with the		
18	terms of the Consent Decree and this Facility Consent Decree.		
19	3.2 This Facility Consent Decree incorporates a Facility Modification Plan		
20	respecting the Plaintiffs and Methodist Hospital of Sacramento, attached hereto as		
21	Exhibit A. Subject to the terms and conditions of the Consent Decree and this Facility		
22	Consent Decree, CHW will cause the physical, communications and operational		
23	alterations and modifications described in the Facility Modification Plan to be made,		
24	with respect to the Facility commonly known as Methodist Hospital of Sacramento, in		
25	order to bring about the removal of physical and other barriers and thus allows disabled		
26	persons the full enjoyment of the goods and services provided by Methodist Hospital of		
27	Sacramento.		
28	///		
	5		

Case 2:06-cv-00295-TLN-EFB Document 116 Filed 04/28/11 Page 6 of 11

4. <u>Nonadmission/Nondetermination</u>.

1

2 4.1. This document constitutes a settlement agreement pursuant to Federal Rule of 3 Evidence 408. Entering into this Facility Consent Decree does not constitute an 4 admission by the CHW Group, express or implied, that the CHW Group has in any way violated any Disability Laws. This Facility Consent Decree, does not contain, and will 5 6 not be interpreted or construed as containing, any such admission. 7 4.2. The Court has made no findings concerning the alleged violations of any 8 Disability Laws. Accordingly, this Facility Consent Decree does not constitute, and will 9 not be used in this or any other case or action, as evidence of any such violation of any 10 Disability Laws. If for any reason this Facility Consent Decree is not executed, no 11 evidence of this proposed Facility Consent Decree will be admissible for any purpose in 12 this or any other action. 13 5. No Third Party Beneficiaries/Plaintiffs' Right to Enforce. For purposes of interpreting 14 or enforcing this Facility Consent Decree, individual Class Members shall not be deemed to 15 be third-party beneficiaries. Individual unnamed Class Members may not bring any action 16 for any alleged violation of this Facility Consent Decree. Only the Named Plaintiffs and 17 Class Counsel have the authority to bring an action to enforce this Facility Consent Decree. 18 6. Exclusivity of this Facility Consent Decree. 19 6.1 Plaintiffs, either individually or collectively, may not now, or at any time in the 20 future, maintain any legal action contending that the Facility addressed by this Facility 21 Consent Decree is required, under the legal theories asserted in this action, to make 22 additional or different modifications beyond those agreed to pursuant to this Facility 23 Consent Decree. 24 6.2 If any conflicts exist or are perceived between the Consent Decree, this Facility 25 Consent Decree, and the Facility Modification Plan incorporated herein, the Facility 26 Modification Plan will govern only with regard to the physical, communications and 27 operational modifications and alterations to be implemented by CHW respecting Methodist 28 Hospital of Sacramento. For all other such conflicts between the Consent Decree, this 6

Case 2:06-cv-00295-TLN-EFB Document 116 Filed 04/28/11 Page 7 of 11

- Facility Consent Decree, and the Facility Modification Plan incorporated herein, the Consent
 Decree will govern the Facility Consent Decree, and both in conjunction (as so construed)
 will govern the Facility Modification Plan.
- 4 7. <u>Term</u>. This Facility Consent Decree shall have a term ("Term") that expires when the Court
 5 enters a Settlement Corrected Facility Order with regard to the Facility addressed herein.
- 6 8. <u>Entire Facility Consent Decree</u>. This Facility Consent Decree, including the Facility 7 Modification Plan incorporated within it, constitutes the complete understanding between 8 the Parties as to this Facility, may not be changed orally, and supersedes any and all prior 9 agreements or understandings between the Parties as to this Facility. Each Party 10 acknowledges that no other Party, nor any representative of a Party, has made any 11 representations or promises other than as set forth herein. No other promises, agreements, or 12 modifications to this Facility Consent Decree shall be binding unless in writing and signed 13 by all Parties. The Parties further agree that if any term of this Facility Consent Decree is 14 held to be void, voidable, unlawful or unenforceable, the remaining portion of the Facility 15 Consent Decree shall remain in full force and effect.
- 16

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- Medical Equipment Review. In accordance with Paragraph 10.4 of the Consent Decree,
 Methodist Hospital of Sacramento Facility's Medical Equipment Report will be finalized
 within twenty four (24) months of the finalization of the Medical Equipment Questionnaire,
 and filed with the Court.
- 21 10. Auxiliary Aids and Services and Service Animal Policies. CHW will ensure that 22 appropriate policies regarding Auxiliary Aids and Services and regarding Service Animals 23 are implemented at the Methodist Hospital of Sacramento Facility. CHW's current 24 Auxiliary Aids and Services policies have been in place since 2008 and are filed with the 25 Office of Civil Rights. These policies have been provided to Class Counsel for review and 26 approval. CHW is in the process of developing a system-wide Service Animals Policy, 27 which will also be provided to Class Counsel for review and approval. CHW's Auxiliary 28 Aids and Services and Service Animals policies will be filed with the Court within 180 days

Case 2:06-cv-00295-TLN-EFB Document 116 Filed 04/28/11 Page 8 of 11

of the approval of this Facility Consent Decree.

11. Barrier Removal and Other Modifications.

1

2

13

- 3 11.1. CHW will make good faith efforts, including, but not limited to, meeting and 4 conferring with Class Counsel as necessary, to have all remediation and changes 5 completed at this Facility within three (3) years of the date the Court approves this 6 Facility Consent Decree, subject to a stipulated or court-approved extension. 7 11.2. The Parties acknowledge that such good faith efforts will be sensitive to, and 8 attempt to account for: the need to comply with applicable building codes and applicable 9 local, state, and federal laws and regulations (including amendments or other changes 10 thereto); changes in medical science or technology; developments in patient care or 11 related services; the operational needs of this Facility; the timing of existing or projected construction and alteration schedules for this Facility unrelated to the Facility 12
- Modification Plan; or other changed circumstances materially affecting this Facility 14 Consent Decree or its underlying assumptions.
- 11.3. 15 A Party may, at any time, propose amendments to this Facility Consent Decree 16 if, in the view of the Party proposing the amendment, an amendment is necessary or 17 appropriate to accommodate changes or developments (as identified in paragraph 15.2 of 18 the Consent Decree), or to further the objectives of this Facility Consent Decree. 19 Unresolved differences concerning such proposals for resolution may be submitted to 20 ADR as set forth in paragraph 20 of the Consent Decree. Any recommended resolution 21 of differences obtained pursuant to the process set forth in paragraph 20 of the Consent 22 Decree may also be appealed by any Party to the Court pursuant to the process set forth 23 in paragraph 20 of the Consent Decree. Amendments to this Facility Consent Decree 24 shall be submitted to the Court for hearing and, if appropriate, approval in keeping with 25 the process employed for approval of Facility Consent Decrees.
- 26 12. Post Compliance Inspection. In accordance with the Consent Decree, CHW will notify 27 Class Counsel in writing within ninety (90) days after this Facility becomes a Settlement 28 Corrected Facility. Following this notification, the Parties will cooperate to arrange for a

Case 2:06-cv-00295-TLN-EFB Document 116 Filed 04/28/11 Page 9 of 11

1	Post Compliance Inspection of this Settlement Corrected Facility on reasonable notice and at
2	a mutually convenient time. The Post Compliance Inspection will be scheduled so that the
3	inspection will occur within ninety (90) days of CHW's notice.

13. <u>Procedures for Addressing Alleged Noncompliance in Post Compliance Inspections</u>.

5
13.1. Within sixty (60) days of conducting the Post Compliance Inspection, Class
6
6
6
6
6
7
7
7
8
8
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
10
10
11
11
11
12
13.1. Within sixty (60) days of conducting the Post Compliance Inspection is completed, CHW's modifications
10
11
11
12
14
15
15
16
16
17
18
19
19
19
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
11
11
12
14
15
15
16
16
16
17
18
19
19
10
10
10
10
10
11
11
12
13
14
14
15
15
16
16
16
17
16
16
17
16
16
17
16
16
17
17
18
19
19
10
10
10
11
12
14
15
15
16
16
17
18
18
19
19
19
10
10
10
10
11
11
12
13
14
14
14
15
14
15
15
16
16
17
16
16
17
17
18
19
19
19
10
10
10
10
10
10
1

12 13.2. Within sixty (60) days of receipt of the written notice described in paragraph
 13.1, CHW will respond in writing to Class Counsel. The Parties will then meet and
 14 confer over any alleged noncompliance within forty-five (45) days after Class Counsel
 15 receives CHW's response.

16 13.3. Within thirty (30) days after the meeting described in paragraph 13.2, any
17 remaining unresolved differences as to this Facility will be referred to the Court or, if the
18 Parties so agree, resolved by ADR as set forth in paragraph 20 of the Consent Decree.

19 13.4. The Court or a Special Master is entitled to provide appropriate relief upon a
20 showing of Noncompliance as to this Facility Consent Decree. Relief may include, but
21 is not limited to, enforcement of this Facility Consent Decree, and extension of the
22 Consent Decree for such period as may be necessary to remedy Noncompliance.

13.5. Any Party may petition the Court for relief from the provisions of this Facility
Consent Decree upon a showing of supervening obligations or events that are
unforeseeable or beyond the control of the Parties, including, but not limited to: changes
in state or local building codes or ordinances; other legal or administrative requirements;
problems in the financial credit or bond financing markets or the occurrence of natural

28

4

	Case 2:06-cv-00295-TLN-EFB Document 116 Filed 04/28/11 Page 10 of 11		
1	disasters that may prevent timely compliance with the injunctive relief provisions set		
2	forth herein.		
3	14. Final Hearings Regarding Settlement Corrected Facilities.		
4	14.1. Once this Facility becomes a Settlement Corrected Facility, and: (1) after any		
5	disputes over alleged Noncompliance as to this Settlement Corrected Facility are		
6	resolved as described in section 13 above; or (2) sixty (60) days after Class Counsel has		
7	waived a Post-Compliance Inspection or (3) ninety (90) days after this Facility's Post		
8	Compliance Inspection is deemed accepted because Class Counsel has not provided the		
9	written notice described in paragraph 13.1 above, CHW may seek, via motion, a		
10	Settlement Corrected Facility Order finding that CHW's obligations with respect to this		
11	Settlement Corrected Facility have been fulfilled and that CHW has complied with this		
12	Facility Consent Decree.		
13	14.2. Within fifteen (15) days of CHW filing the motion described in paragraph 14.1,		
14	Plaintiffs may request an evidentiary hearing on CHW's motion. It is in the Court's		
15	discretion to grant or deny the request.		
16	14.3. If the Court finds, as to this Facility, that CHW's obligations have been fulfilled		
17	and that CHW has complied with this Facility Consent Decree, the Court will enter a		
18	Settlement Corrected Facility Order to that effect with regard to this Facility.		
19	BINDING EFFECT; NO NOTICE		
20	15. Once the Court approves this Facility Consent Decree it is a final decree binding the Parties,		
21	and a final judgment pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 54, subject to all rights of judicial review		
22	provided by law for judgments of this Court.		
23	16. In accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2), because the Complaint seeks injunctive relief		
24	only, and in keeping with the Court's order dated October 6, 2008, no individual notice to		
25	the Class will be required prior to approval of this Facility Consent Decree.		
26	///		
27	///		
28	///		
	10 FACILITY CONSENT DECREE – METHODIST HOSPITAL OF SACRAMENTO		
	TROUTT CONSERT DECKEL - METHODIST HOSTITAL OF SACKAMENTO		

	Case 2:06-cv-00295-TLN-EFB	Document 116 Filed 04/28/11 Page 11 of 11
1	DATED: January 12, 2011	By: /s/ Edward L. Kemper
2		EDWARD L. KEMPER Named Plaintiff
3		
4	DATED: January 11, 2011	By: /s/ Connie J. Arnold
5		CONNIE J. ARNOLD Named Plaintiff
6		
7	DATED: September 22, 2010	By: /s/ Jeff Land
8		JEFF LAND Vice-President, Corporate Real Estate CATHOLIC HEALTHCARE WEST
9		
10	DATED: January 12, 2011	DE LA O, MARKO, MAGOLNICK & LEYTON
11		
12		By: /s/ Charles D. Ferguson DANIEL L. LEYTON
13		CHARLES D. FERGUSON
13		Attorneys for Named Plaintiffs and the Class
14	DATED: January 13, 2011	DOWNEY BRAND LLP
16		
17		By: /s/ Elizabeth B. Stallard
		DANIEL J. MCVEIGH ELIZABETH B. STALLARD
18		Attorneys for Defendant CATHOLIC HEALTHCARE WEST
19		
20	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
21		
22		
23 24	DATED: April 27, 2011	
		Jamme K Karlton
25		LAWRENCE K. KARLTON
26		SENIOR JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
27		
28		
		11 NT DECREE METHODIST HOSPITAL OF SACRAMENTO
	FACILITY CONSEN	NT DECREE – METHODIST HOSPITAL OF SACRAMENTO