

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 COREY COLEMAN GRAY,

11 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-05-2611 RRB DAD P

12 vs.

13 JERRY CARDOZA, et al.,

14 Defendants.

ORDER

15 _____/
16 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking
17 relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant
18 to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.

19 On June 27, 2007, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein
20 which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the
21 findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Plaintiff has not filed objections
22 to the findings and recommendations.

23 Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the findings and
24 recommendations was returned, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to
25 keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 83-182(f), service
26 of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.

1 The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be
2 supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY
3 ORDERED that:

- 4 1. The findings and recommendations filed June 27, 2007, are adopted in full; and
5 2. This action is dismissed for plaintiff's failure to keep the court apprised of his
6 current address. See Local Rules 83-182(f) and 11-110.

7 ENTERED this 19th day of December, 2007.

8 S/RALPH R. BEISTLINE
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26