Case 1:05 min portograph of Color particle Color pa

UNI	TED STATES OF AMERICA,)
	Plaintiff,) Case No. 1:05-mj-00173
	VS.) DETENTION ORDER
TRO	Y ALAN WHIPPLE,))
	Defendant.)))
A.	-	ng pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) of the Bail Reform Act, the Court detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) and (i).
В.	assure the appearance of the By clear and convincing ex	etention because it finds: evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably
С.	in the Pretrial Services Report, and X (1) Nature and circumstant X (a) The offense:	ces of the offense charged: 36 CFR 2.34(a)(1) = Disorderly Conduct and carries a maximum penalty of: 6 mon./\$5,000. crime of violence. olves a narcotic drug. olves a large amount of controlled substances, to wit: ance against the defendant is high. cristics of the defendant, including: ant appears to have a mental condition which may affect whether the
	The defend The defend	ant has a history relating to drug abuse. ant has a history relating to alcohol abuse. ant has a significant prior criminal record. ant has a prior record of failure to appear at court proceedings.

DETENTION OR THE 200173-DLB Document 6 Filed 08/02/05 Page 2 of No. 1:05-mj-00173 (b) Whether the defendant was on probation, parole, or release by a court: At the time of the current arrest, the defendant was on: ___ Probation Parole Release pending trial, sentence, appeal, or completion of sentence. (c) Other Factors: The defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to deportation. The defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to deportation if convicted. X Other: The defendant is largely estranged from his parents and siblings, and does not have a stable residence history. (4) The nature and seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's release are as follows: (5) Rebuttable Presumptions In determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also relied on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. §3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because the Court finds that the crime involves: (A) A crime of violence; (B) An offense for which the maximum penalty is life imprisonment or death; (C) A controlled substance violation which has a maximum penalty of 10 years or more; or, (D) A felony after the defendant had been convicted of 2 or more prior offenses described in (A) through (C) above, and the defendant has a prior conviction for one of the crimes mentioned in (A) through (C) above which is less than 5 years old and which was committed while the defendant was on pretrial release. That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of b. the defendant as required and the safety of the community because the Court finds that there is probable cause to believe: (A) That the defendant has committed a controlled substance violation which has a maximum penalty of 10 years or more. (B) That the defendant has committed an offense under 18 U.S.C. §924(c) (uses or carries a firearm during and in relation to any crime of violence, including a crime of violence, which provides for an enhanced punishment if committed by the use of a deadly or dangerous weapon or device). D. **Additional Directives** Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that: The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; the defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and, that on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding. IT IS SO ORDERED. **Dated:** August 2, 2005 /s/ Sandra M. Snyder UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE icido3