

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 18-7006**September Term, 2017****1:17-cv-02462-UNA****Filed On:** June 22, 2018

Cargyle Solomon,
Appellant

v.

Laura H.G. O'Sullivan, et al.,
Appellees

**ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

BEFORE: Millett and Katsas, Circuit Judges; Sentelle, Senior Circuit Judge

J U D G M E N T

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). Upon consideration of the foregoing, and the motions for a preliminary injunction, a jury trial, and a hearing and to supplement the brief and show the court a video of the record, it is

ORDERED that the motions for a preliminary injunction, a jury trial, and a hearing and to supplement the brief and show the court a video of the record be denied. It is

FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's December 12, 2017, order be affirmed. The district court correctly held that it lacked subject matter jurisdiction over an appeal from a Maryland state court decision. See Singletary v. District of Columbia, 766 F.3d 66, 71 (D.C. Cir. 2014) (explaining that district courts lack jurisdiction to review state court judgments).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT:
Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/
Ken Meadows
Deputy Clerk