

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 18-5339**September Term, 2018****1:13-cv-01642-BAH****Filed On:** March 19, 2019

Zachary Johnson,

Appellant

v.

Attorney General of the United States, et al.,

Appellees

**ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA****BEFORE:** Henderson and Millett, Circuit Judges, and Sentelle, Senior Circuit Judge**J U D G M E N T**

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on appellant's brief. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's August 15, 2018 order be affirmed. Because appellant demonstrated neither that the judgment was "void," Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b)(4), nor that "extraordinary circumstances" justifying the reopening of a final judgment were present, Gonzalez v. Crosby, 545 U.S. 524, 535 (2005), the district court correctly denied appellant's motion to vacate the judgment pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b)(4) and (6).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam**FOR THE COURT:**

Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/
Ken Meadows
Deputy Clerk