

United States Court of Appeals
For the Eighth Circuit

No. 24-1160

United States of America

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

Darron Van Hill

Defendant - Appellant

Appeal from United States District Court
for the Southern District of Iowa - Central

Submitted: May 22, 2024

Filed: May 28, 2024

[Unpublished]

Before GRUENDER, ERICKSON, and STRAS, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

After violating the conditions of supervised release for the second time, Darron Hill received a 12-month prison sentence. His counsel, who seeks permission to withdraw, suggests the sentence is substantively unreasonable.

We conclude otherwise. *See United States v. Dixon*, 52 F.4th 731, 733 (8th Cir. 2022) (reviewing a revocation sentence for an abuse of discretion). The record shows that the district court¹ sufficiently considered the statutory sentencing factors, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3553(a), 3583(e)(3), and did not rely on an improper factor or commit a clear error of judgment. *See United States v. Timberlake*, 679 F.3d 1008, 1012 (8th Cir. 2012) (explaining that the district court has “substantial latitude to determine how much weight to give the various factors” (citation omitted)). We accordingly affirm the judgment of the district court and grant counsel permission to withdraw.

¹The Honorable Stephanie M. Rose, Chief Judge, United States District Court for the Southern District of Iowa.