

**United States Court of Appeals**  
**For the Eighth Circuit**

---

No. 23-3211

---

United States of America

*Plaintiff - Appellee*

v.

Jeremy M. Dobson

*Defendant - Appellant*

---

Appeal from United States District Court  
for the Western District of Missouri

---

Submitted: August 27, 2024

Filed: August 30, 2024

[Unpublished]

---

Before SMITH, BENTON, and GRASZ, Circuit Judges.

---

PER CURIAM.

Jeremy Dobson appeals after he pled guilty, pursuant to a Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(1)(C) plea agreement containing an appeal waiver, to kidnapping, carjacking,

and firearm offenses, and the district court<sup>1</sup> imposed a sentence within the agreed-upon range. His counsel has moved for leave to withdraw and filed a brief under *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), relaying Dobson's contention that proceedings related to his mental competency were used for purposes of delay and giving the government an advantage in building its case against him.

Upon careful review, we conclude the appeal waiver is valid, enforceable, and applicable to this appeal. See *United States v. Scott*, 627 F.3d 702, 704 (8th Cir. 2010) (reviewing validity and applicability of appeal waiver de novo); *United States v. Andis*, 333 F.3d 886, 889-92 (8th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (enforcing appeal waiver if appeal falls within scope of waiver, defendant knowingly and voluntarily entered into plea agreement and waiver, and it would not result in miscarriage of justice). We have also independently reviewed the record under *Penon v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), and have found no non-frivolous issues for appeal falling outside the scope of the appeal waiver. Accordingly, we dismiss the appeal based on the appeal waiver, and grant counsel leave to withdraw. We also grant counsel's motion to supplement the record.

---

---

<sup>1</sup>The Honorable Howard F. Sachs, United States District Judge for the Western District of Missouri.