

No. 11-1870

Appellate Case: 11-1870 Page: 1 Date Filed: 12/20/2011 Entry ID: 3861064

PER CURIAM.

Anthony Austine Ukofia appeals following the district court's¹ adverse grant of summary judgment in his civil action. Upon careful review of the record and the parties' submissions on appeal, we conclude that the district court did not abuse its discretion in declining to appoint counsel for Mr. Ukofia, see Davis v. Scott, 94 F.3d 444, 447 (8th Cir. 1996); in denying his third motion to amend his complaint, see Deutsche Fin. Servs. Corp. v. BCS Ins. Co., 299 F.3d 692, 700 (8th Cir. 2002); and in denying his request to extend the discovery period, see Marksmeier v. Davie, 622 F.3d 896, 903 (8th Cir. 2010). We also conclude that summary judgment was proper for the reasons stated by the district court. See Johnson v. Blaukat, 453 F.3d 1108, 1112 (8th Cir. 2006) (de novo standard of review). Accordingly, we affirm the judgment. See 8th Cir. R. 47B.

¹The Honorable Patrick J. Schiltz, United States District Judge for the District of Minnesota, adopting the report and recommendations of the Honorable Jeanne J. Graham, United States Magistrate Judge for the District of Minnesota.