

United States Court of Appeals
for the Fifth Circuit

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

August 17, 2021

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

No. 20-10100
Summary Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff—Appellee,

versus

WAYNE JOSEPH CHANG,

Defendant—Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Texas
USDC No. 3:18-CR-588-2

Before DAVIS, JONES, and ELROD, *Circuit Judges*.

PER CURIAM:*

The attorney appointed to represent Wayne Joseph Chang has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011). Chang has filed a response. Among other things, he argues that

* Pursuant to 5TH CIRCUIT RULE 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIRCUIT RULE 47.5.4.

No. 20-10100

he received ineffective assistance of counsel in connection with his decision to plead guilty and his sentencing. The record is not sufficiently developed to allow us to make a fair evaluation of these claims; we therefore decline to consider them without prejudice to collateral review. *See United States v. Isgar*, 739 F.3d 829, 841 (5th Cir. 2014).

We have reviewed counsel's brief and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein, as well as Chang's response. We concur with counsel's assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review. Accordingly, counsel's motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 42.2. To the extent Chang moves for the appointment of substitute counsel, the motion is DENIED. *See United States v. Wagner*, 158 F.3d 901, 902-03 (5th Cir. 1998).