

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

United States Court of Appeals  
Fifth Circuit

**FILED**

November 29, 2011

\_\_\_\_\_  
No. 11-60448  
Summary Calendar  
\_\_\_\_\_

Lyle W. Cayce  
Clerk

TYRES FRAI' AUNT AUTREY,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

HUNTINGTON INGALLS, INCORPORATED,

Defendant-Appellee.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Southern District of Mississippi  
No. 1:10-CV-290  
\_\_\_\_\_

Before REAVLEY, SMITH, and PRADO, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Tyres Autrey appeals, *pro se*, a summary judgment on his *pro se* complaint

\_\_\_\_\_  
\* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 11-60448

of race and sex discrimination, retaliation, defamation, and slander. In the district court, he conceded some of the claims.

The district court explained its ruling in a thorough and convincing Memorandum Opinion and Order. The court noted that there was no evidence of direct discrimination and that under the burden-shifting framework, the employer had proffered a legitimate reason for choosing another person for the position that Autrey sought. Specifically, the company relied on the fact that the other person already had experience in the warehouse and had a bachelor's degree. Autrey's other arguments asserted on appeal are similarly without merit.

The summary judgment is **AFFIRMED**, essentially for the reasons stated by the district court.