UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 26-6721

DOMINICK ALEXANDER BROWN,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF PROBATION, PAROLE, AND PARDON SERVICES,

Defendant - Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Charleston. Richard Mark Gergel, District Judge. (2:23-cv-01808-RMG)

Submitted: October 15, 2026 Decided: October 20, 2026

Before WILKINSON, WYNN, and HARRIS, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Dominick Alexander Brown, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

AUTHENTICATED
U.S. GOVERNMENT
INFORMATION
GPO

PER CURIAM:

Dominick Alexander Brown seeks to appeal the district court's order adopting the magistrate judge's recommendation and dismissing Brown's § 1983 complaint as barred by the statute of limitations. We dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction because the notice of appeal was not timely filed.

In civil cases, parties have 30 days after the entry of the district court's final judgment or order to note an appeal, Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1)(A), unless the district court extends the appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5) or reopens the appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(6). "[T]he timely filing of a notice of appeal in a civil case is a jurisdictional requirement." *Bowles v. Russell*, 551 U.S. 205, 214 (2007).

The district court entered its order on June 15, 2023, and the appeal period expired on July 17, 2023. Brown filed the notice of appeal on June 15, 2026.[*] Because Brown failed to file a timely notice of appeal or to obtain an extension or reopening of the appeal period, we dismiss the appeal.

We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED

[*] For the purpose of this appeal, we assume that the postmark date appearing on the envelope containing the notice of appeal is the earliest date Brown could have delivered the notice to prison officials for mailing to the court. Fed. R. App. P. 4(c)(1); *Houston v. Lack*, 487 U.S. 266, 276 (1988).