

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 26-1563

YASMANI GURRI RUBIO,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

MARKWAYNE MULLIN, Secretary of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security, in his official capacity; JOSEPH B. EDLOW, Director of United States Citizenship and Immigration Services, in his official capacity, USCIS Headquarters; JANE DOE #1, USCIS Officer who conducted the plaintiff's naturalization interview at the Baltimore Field Office on or about April 17, 2025, in her individual and official capacity; GREG COLLETT, District Director of USCIS Baltimore Field Office, in his official capacity USCIS Baltimore Field Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY; U.S. CITIZENSHIP AND IMMIGRATION SERVICES (USCIS); UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Baltimore. Adam B. Abelson, District Judge. (1:25-cv-02756-ABA)

Submitted: August 17, 2026

Decided: September 10, 2026

Before GREGORY and BENJAMIN, Circuit Judges, and TRAXLER, Senior Circuit Judge.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Yasmani Gurri Rubio, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Yasmani Gurri Rubio seeks to appeal the district court's order denying Rubio's motion for recusal, remanding to United States Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) Rubio's application for naturalization, and denying as moot various other motions.¹ This court may exercise jurisdiction only over final orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1291, and certain interlocutory and collateral orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1292; Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b); *Cohen v. Beneficial Indus. Loan Corp.*, 337 U.S. 541, 545-46 (1949). The order Rubio seeks to appeal is neither a final order nor an appealable interlocutory or collateral order.² Accordingly, we dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED

¹ Rubio has filed motions for summary disposition, to expedite, and to vacate. We deny as moot the motions for summary disposition and to expedite, and we deny the motion to vacate.

² To the extent Rubio seeks to appeal any orders the district court entered after remanding his naturalization application to USCIS, those orders are not properly before us because Rubio did not file an amended notice of appeal after entry of those orders. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(4)(B)(ii).