

UNPUBLISHEDUNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 25-6062

STEVE C. CHADWICK,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

STATE OF MARYLAND,

Defendant - Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Baltimore.
Theodore D. Chuang, District Judge. (1:24-cv-02844-TDC)

Submitted: April 24, 2025

Decided: April 29, 2025

Before RICHARDSON and BENJAMIN, Circuit Judges, and TRAXLER, Senior Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Steve Carl Chadwick, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Steve Carl Chadwick appeals the district court's order dismissing his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 complaint as barred by *Heck v. Humphrey*, 512 U.S. 477 (1994). On appeal, we confine our review to the issues raised in the informal brief. *See* 4th Cir. R. 34(b). Because Chadwick's informal brief does not challenge the basis for the district court's disposition, he has forfeited appellate review of the court's order. *See Jackson v. Lightsey*, 775 F.3d 170, 177 (4th Cir. 2014) ("The informal brief is an important document; under Fourth Circuit rules, our review is limited to issues preserved in that brief."). Accordingly, we affirm the district court's judgment. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED