

UNPUBLISHEDUNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 25-1845

KENNETH E. DUNLAP, JR.,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

NORTH CAROLINA INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION; HARDISON & COCHRAN,
PLLC, Personal Injury Lawyers; SENTRY INSURANCE; TEAGUE &
CAMPBELL ATTORNEYS AT LAW; NOVANT HEALTH ORTHOPEDICS &
SPORTS MEDICINE,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina, at
Charlotte. Graham C. Mullen, Senior District Judge. (3:25-cv-00495-GCM)

Submitted: December 18, 2025

Decided: December 22, 2025

Before NIEMEYER and BERNER, Circuit Judges, and TRAXLER, Senior Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Kenneth E. Dunlap, Jr., Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Kenneth E. Dunlap, Jr., appeals the district court's order dismissing his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 complaint under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B). On appeal, we confine our review to the issues raised in the informal brief. *See* 4th Cir. R. 34(b). Because Dunlap's informal brief does not challenge the bases for the district court's disposition, he has forfeited appellate review of the court's order. *See Jackson v. Lightsey*, 775 F.3d 170, 177 (4th Cir. 2014) ("The informal brief is an important document; under Fourth Circuit rules, our review is limited to issues preserved in that brief."). Accordingly, we affirm the district court's judgment. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED