

**UNPUBLISHED**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 24-7128**

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

MARVIN PERNELL ADAMS, JR., a/k/a Mousey,

Defendant - Appellant.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina, at  
Wilmington. James C. Dever III, District Judge. (7:17-cr-00057-D-1)

---

Submitted: May 15, 2025

Decided: May 20, 2025

---

Before NIEMEYER and HEYTENS, Circuit Judges, and KEENAN, Senior Circuit Judge.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

---

Marvin Pernell Adams, Jr., Appellant Pro Se. David A. Bragdon, Assistant United States  
Attorney, OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Raleigh, North Carolina,  
for Appellee.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Marvin Pernell Adams, Jr., appeals the district court's order denying relief on his 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) motion for a sentence reduction pursuant to Amendment 821 to the Sentencing Guidelines. "We review a district court's decision [whether] to reduce a sentence under § 3582(c)(2) for abuse of discretion and its ruling as to the scope of its legal authority under § 3582(c)(2) de novo." *United States v. Mann*, 709 F.3d 301, 304 (4th Cir. 2013). Our review of the record reveals no error. Specifically, while the court clearly understood its authority to reduce Adams' sentence and recognized Adams' postsentencing conduct, it ultimately declined to grant a reduction based on its review of the 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) factors.

Accordingly, we affirm the district court's order. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

*AFFIRMED*