

UNPUBLISHEDUNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 22-6000

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

BOBBY HAYES,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina, at Raleigh. Louise W. Flanagan, District Judge. (5:13-cr-00111-FL-3)

Submitted: March 29, 2022

Decided: April 1, 2022

Before HARRIS, QUATTLEBAUM, and HEYTENS, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Bobby Hayes, Appellant Pro Se. David A. Bragdon, Assistant United States Attorney, Daniel William Smith, Assistant United States Attorney, Joshua Bryan Royster, OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Raleigh, North Carolina, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Bobby Hayes appeals the district court's order denying his 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A) motion for compassionate release. We review a district court's order granting or denying a compassionate release motion for abuse of discretion. *United States v. Kibble*, 992 F.3d 326, 329 (4th Cir.) (stating standard of review), *cert. denied*, 142 S. Ct. 383 (2021). We have reviewed the record and conclude that the district court did not abuse its discretion. The court denied the compassionate release motion after assuming Hayes had demonstrated extraordinary and compelling circumstances, discussing the applicable 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) factors, and sufficiently explaining the reasons for the denial. *See United States v. High*, 997 F.3d 181, 188-91 (4th Cir. 2021) (discussing amount of explanation required for denial of straightforward compassionate release motion). We therefore affirm the district court's order. We deny Hayes' motion to appoint counsel. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED