

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 20-7884

SHAHID L. A. MAJID, a/k/a Arthur Moseley,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

RN RICHARDS, in individual capacity; RN BELSER, in individual capacity; MS. BARBARA, Kitchen Supervisor, in individual capacity; CPT. CARTER, in individual capacity; KAROL BERRY, Health Services Headquarters, in individual capacity; MR. WOODHAM, Kitchen Supervisor, in individual capacity; SGT. SUMMERS, in individual capacity; SCDC; RN MS. HEATHER VARNADORE; LT. C. PARKER, in individual capacity,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Rock Hill. Mary G. Lewis, District Judge. (0:19-cv-01793-MGL)

Submitted: June 24, 2021

Decided: June 28, 2021

Before KING and THACKER, Circuit Judges, and TRAXLER, Senior Circuit Judge.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Shahid L. A. Majid, Appellant Pro Se. Andrew Lindemann, LINDEMANN & DAVIS, P.A., Columbia, South Carolina; Amy Lynn Neuschafer, COLLINS & LACY, P.C., Murrells Inlet, South Carolina, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Shahid L.A. Majid seeks to appeal the district court's amended order adopting the magistrate judge's recommendation and dismissing Majid's civil action, as well as denying his requests for injunctive relief. We dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction because the notice of appeal was not timely filed.

In civil cases, parties have 30 days after the entry of the district court's final judgment or order to note an appeal, Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1)(A), unless the district court extends the appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5) or reopens the appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(6). "[T]he timely filing of a notice of appeal in a civil case is a jurisdictional requirement." *Bowles v. Russell*, 551 U.S. 205, 214 (2007).

The district court entered judgment on October 20, 2020. Shahid filed the notice of appeal on November 25, 2020.* Because Shahid failed to file a timely notice of appeal or to obtain an extension or reopening of the appeal period, we grant Defendants' motions to dismiss this appeal for lack of jurisdiction. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED

* For the purpose of this appeal, we assume that the date designated by Majid in his certificate of service is the earliest date Majid could have delivered the notice to prison officials for mailing to the court. Fed. R. App. P. 4(c)(1); *Houston v. Lack*, 487 U.S. 266, 276 (1988).