

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 20-2237

BOBBY DULA,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

ANDREW SAUL, Acting Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant - Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina, at Greensboro. Loretta C. Biggs, District Judge. (1:19-cv-00740-LCB-JEP)

Submitted: February 23, 2021

Decided: February 25, 2021

Before MOTZ, KEENAN, and HARRIS, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Bobby Dula, Appellant Pro Se. David E. Somers, III, Office of the General Counsel, SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Bobby Dula appeals the district court's order accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and upholding the Administrative Law Judge's (ALJ) denial of Dula's application for disability insurance benefits, arguing that the district court erred by failing to consider new evidence that he attached to his objections to the magistrate judge's recommendation.* We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. *Dula v. Saul*, No. 1:19-cv-00740-LCB-JEP (M.D.N.C. Sept. 24, 2020). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

* To the extent that Dula seeks to raise other issues on appeal, he has waived appellate review of those issues by failing to specifically object to the magistrate judge's particularized legal recommendations regarding those issues after being warned of the consequences of failing to do so. See *Martin v. Duffy*, 858 F.3d 239, 245 (4th Cir. 2017) (holding that, "to preserve for appeal an issue in a magistrate judge's report, a party must object to the finding or recommendation on that issue with sufficient specificity so as reasonably to alert the district court of the true ground for the objection" (internal quotation marks omitted)).