

**UNPUBLISHED**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 20-1242**

---

In re: STARSHA SEWELL,

Appellant.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Baltimore.  
James K. Bredar, Chief District Judge. (1:18-mc-00114)

---

Submitted: June 18, 2020

Decided: June 22, 2020

---

Before FLOYD, THACKER, and RUSHING, Circuit Judges.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Starsha M. Sewell, Appellant Pro Se.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Starsha M. Sewell appeals the district court's order denying her Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b) motion for relief from the court's previously issued and modified prefilng injunction and the court's notices returning certain pleadings to Sewell pursuant to that injunction. On appeal, we confine our review to the issues raised in the informal brief. See 4th Cir. R. 34(b). Because Sewell's informal brief does not challenge the basis for the district court's disposition, she has forfeited appellate review of the court's order. See *Jackson v. Lightsey*, 775 F.3d 170, 177 (4th Cir. 2014) ("The informal brief is an important document; under Fourth Circuit rules, our review is limited to issues preserved in that brief."). Accordingly, we affirm the district court's order. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

*AFFIRMED*