

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 17-1540

JANICE WOLK GRENADIER,

Debtor - Appellant,

v.

THOMAS PATRICK GORMAN,

Trustee - Appellee,

and

JUDY A. ROBBINS, US Trustee,

Trustee.

No. 17-1573

GEORGE EDWARD MCDERMOTT,

Appellant.

JANICE WOLK GRENADIER,

Debtor,

JUDY A. ROBBINS, US Trustee; THOMAS PATRICK GORMAN,

Trustees.

No. 17-1710

JANICE WOLK GRENADIER, a/k/a J. W. Grenadier, a/k/a Janice Grenadier,

Debtor - Appellant,

v.

ILONA ELY FREEDMAN GRENADIER HECKMAN,

Defendant - Appellee,

v.

JUDY A. ROBBINS; THOMAS PATRICK GORMAN,

Trustees.

No. 17-1737

JANICE WOLK GRENADIER,

Debtor - Appellant,

v.

THOMAS PATRICK GORMAN,

Trustee - Appellee,

and

JUDY A. ROBBINS, US Trustee,

Trustee.

Appeals from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Alexandria. Claude M. Hilton, Senior District Judge. (1:16-cv-01461-CMH-IDD); Gerald Bruce Lee, District Judge. (1:16-cv-01448-GBL-TCB)

Submitted: November 16, 2017

Decided: November 20, 2017

Before GREGORY, Chief Judge, and TRAXLER and KEENAN, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Janice Wolk Grenadier, George Edward McDermott, Appellants Pro Se. Thomas Patrick Gorman, OFFICE OF THE CHAPTER 13 TRUSTEE, Alexandria, Virginia; Andrea L. Moseley, DIMUROGINSBERG, PC, Alexandria, Virginia, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Janice Wolk Grenadier and George Edward McDermott appeal the district court's orders dismissing Grenadier's bankruptcy appeals and denying McDermott's motions to intervene and to confirm. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis and dismiss the appeals for the reasons stated by the district court. *Grenadier v. Gorman*, No. 1:16-cv-01461-CMH-IDD (E.D. Va. Apr. 3 & May 5, 2017); *McDermott*, No. 1:16-cv-01461-CMH-IDD (E.D. Va. Apr. 24, 2017); *Grenadier v. Heckman*, No. 1:16-cv-01448-GBL-TCB (E.D. Va. May 10, 2017). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED