

UNPUBLISHEDUNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 08-2357

WANDA SCOTT,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA; ABBEVILLE COUNTY; CITY OF ABBEVILLE; ABBEVILLE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT; ABBEVILLE COUNTY JAIL; TOMMY HITE, Hite Law Firm; MARION JOHNSON, Deputy Sheriff; DON MORRIS, Deputy Sheriff; CHARLES GOODWIN, Sheriff; DAVID BEASLEY, former Governor of South Carolina; KELLY LOWE, Prosecutor; ANDREW HODGES, Prosecutor; FRANK ADDEY, Family Court Prosecutor; JOHN SCHRIER, Police Officer; ROBIN RUCKER, Chief of Police; EVE WILSON, Abbeville County DSS; ABBEVILLE CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT; ANNA PADGENT, GAL Program; STATE OF ALABAMA, Governor's Office; RUCKER, Family Court Judge; GREENWOOD SOLICITOR, Office Prosecutors; COUNTY OF ABBEVILLE FAMILY COURT; SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION; ERIC MCCOY, of Governor's Extradition Office; JOHN DOE, of Governor's Extradition Office; CSX RAILROAD; ISOLYSER CO., of Norcross Georgia; KAREN CREECH, Attorney; COVINGTON PATRICK HAGIN STEIN & LEWIS; TIMOTHY WOOLSTON, Prosecutor,

Defendants - Appellees,

and

GWD PROSECUTORS; TOMMY FERGUSON, Abbeville County Magistrate; JAILER TRISH; WYATT SAUNDERS, Family Court Judge; TIM THOMAS; TOWNES JONES, Solicitor; LADONA JOHNSON; COUNTY OF CALHOUN; DAVID FORRESTER, Deputy,

Defendants.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Anderson. G. Ross Anderson, Jr., Senior District Judge. (8:08-cv-00932-GRA)

Submitted: May 21, 2009

Decided: May 26, 2009

Before MOTZ, TRAXLER, and AGEE, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Wanda Scott, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Wanda Scott appeals the district court's order accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and denying relief on her 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2006) complaint. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. Scott v. South Carolina, No. 8:08-cv-00932-GRA (D.S.C. Nov. 7, 2008). We deny Scott's motions to order additional case files and to consolidate. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED