NOT PRECEDENTIAL

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 20-1011

IN RE: C. TATE GEORGE,
Petitioner

On a Petition for Writ of Mandamus from the
United States District Court for the District of New Jersey
(Related to D.N.J. Civ. No. 3-17-cv-02641)

Submitted Pursuant to Rule 21, Fed. R. App. P.
June 29, 2020
Before: SMITH, Chief Judge, CHAGARES and COWEN, Circuit Judges

(Opinion filed July 8, 2020)

OPINION*

PER CURIAM

In January 2020, C. Tate George filed a pro se petition for a writ of mandamus, asking us to direct the District Court to rule on a motion to vacate sentence that he filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255. On May 26, 2020, the District Court denied the § 2255

* This disposition is not an opinion of the full Court and pursuant to I.O.P. 5.7 does not constitute binding precedent.

AUTHENTICATED
U.S. GOVERNMENT
INFORMATION
GPO

motion. See ECF 66, 67. Because George has now obtained the relief that he seeks in his mandamus petition, we will dismiss the petition as moot. See Blanciak v. Allegheny Ludlum Corp., 77 F.3d 690, 698-99 (3d Cir. 1996) ("If developments occur during the course of adjudication that eliminate a plaintiff's personal stake in the outcome of a suit or prevent a court from being able to grant the requested relief, the case must be dismissed as moot.").