NOT FOR PUBLICATION

In the
United States Court of Appeals
For the Eleventh Circuit

No. 26-12921
Non-Argument Calendar

VICTOR WARREN PROPERTIES, INC,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

BETTY DICKERSON,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Georgia
D.C. Docket No. 1:26-cv-04401-VMC

Before JORDAN, ROSENBAUM, and BRASHER, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Betty Dickerson, proceeding pro se, appeals the district court's order remanding her dispossessory action to state court for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

AUTHENTICATED U.S. GOVERNMENT INFORMATION GPO

We lack jurisdiction over this appeal because remand orders based upon a lack of subject matter jurisdiction are unreviewable. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c), (d); *New v. Sports & Recreation, Inc.*, 114 F.3d 1092, 1095-96 (11th Cir. 1997); *Kircher v. Putnam Funds Tr.*, 547 U.S. 633, 642 (2006) (explaining that a remand order for lack of subject matter jurisdiction is unreviewable even if it is erroneous). The district court's remand order is not otherwise reviewable because Dickerson's notice of removal did not remove the action from state court under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1442 or 1443. *See* 28 U.S.C. §§ 1442, 1443; *BP P.L.C. v. Mayor of Baltimore*, 593 U.S. 230, 238 (2021) (explaining that, to remove a case under §§ 1442 or 1443, a notice of removal must assert that the case is removable under one of those provisions).

Accordingly, this appeal is DISMISSED, sua sponte, for lack of jurisdiction.